Working Party on Road Traffic Safety Geneva, 19 September 2017 United Nations Econonomic Committee for Europe

SafeFITS

A Global Road Safety Model For Future Inland Transport Systems

Prof. George Yannis, Dr. Eleonora Papadimitriou and Katerina Folla



Department of Transportation Planning and Engineering National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

SafeFITS Objectives

- To develop a macroscopic road safety decision making tool that will assist governments and decision makers, both in developed and developing countries, to decide on the most appropriate road safety policies and measures in order to achieve tangible results.
- The tool is **based on the related scientific knowledge available worldwide**, with emphasis on recent academic research and project results.





SafeFITS Report and Presentation Outline

- SafeFITS Conceptual framework
- Literature review of existing research on accident causalities
- Focused literature review on quantified accident causal relations
- SafeFITS Database development
- SafeFITS Model development
- SafeFITS Tool, including:
 - Intervention analysis module
 - Forecasting module
 - Benchmarking module





Conceptual Framework

Based on the five pillars of WHO Global Plan of Action (WHO, 2011) and an improved version of the SUNflower pyramid (2002):

SafeFITS layers

- 1. Economy and Management
- 2. Transport Demand and Exposure
- 3. Road Safety Measures
- 4. Road Safety Performance Indicators
- 5. Fatalities and Injuries

SafeFITS pillars

- 1. Road Safety Management
- 2. Road Infrastructure
- 3. Vehicle
- 4. User
- 5. Post-Crash Services

12	양매	8
120	18.	2
E.	A	1
16.5	r/	Ď,
10	-	2

		PILLARS					
		1 Road Safety Management	2. Road Infrastructure	3. Vehicle	4. User	5. Post-Crash Services	
	1. Economy & Management	Economic Deve- lopments, Strategy & Targets, Regu- latory framework (compliance with UN regulations)	Existence of motorways, of non-paved roads, of road tunnels, Existence of guidelines (for design, RSA etc.), Legislation on speeding	Number of regi- stered vehicles, Vehicle age, Technical inspe- ction legislation (maintenance, roadworthiness, overweight, ADR)	Requirements & regulations on drivers' licensing, Drivers' training, Medical exams of drivers, Legislation on alcohol / use of seatbelts / use of heimets	Trauma management sector level of development Number of hospitals / doctors / Intensive Care (IC) beds per population	
LAYERS	2 Transport demand & exposure	Transport Modal Split (road/rail, passenger/freight, private/public), Share of urban areas, Weather conditions	Exposure with regard to road type, Length of road per road type, Share of Motorway length out of the total road network, Number of railway level crossings	Exposure with regard to vehicle type, Share of PTW, HGV / carriage of dangerous goods vehicles in the vehicle fleet	Exposure with regard to age & gender		
	3 Road Safety Measures	Assessment of measures, Data collection & analysis, International comparisons, Vehicle taxation, Road pricing	Treatment of High Risk Siles, Road Safety Audits, Turnel Road Safety Manage- ment, Improve- ment of signage, Installation of road restraint systems, Lighting, Speed limits in urban areasTraffic Caliming	Renewal rate of vehicle fleet, Measures for second-hand vehicles, Vehicle related roadside controls, Automated driving	Enforcement, campaigns, Road safety education, Training	e-call, First aid training, Existence & organisation of trauma centers	
	4 Road Safety Performance Indicators	Safety targets, stakeholdems' involvement, detail of analysis for intervention selection, economic evaluation	Number of RSAs conducted, Percentage of High Risk Sites treated	Global NCAP score, Mean age of the vehicle fleet per vehicle type, Existence of safety equipment, e-safety	Speeding / Drink & drive infringe- ments, Seatbelts use, Helmets use, Driver distraction, Driver fatigue	Emergency response time, Type of field treatment, Speed of treatment in hospital, Number of ambulances per population, Number of good samaritanians per population	
	5. Fatalities & Injuries	Fatalities / injuries per million inhabitants, fatalities / injuries per million passenger cars, fatalities / injuries per 10 billion passenger-km	Fatalities / injuries in motoways, in 2-lane rural roads, in urban roads	Share of motorcycle fatalities out of the total fatalities	Share of pedestrian / bicyclist / motorcyclist fatalities out of the total fatalities, drink-driving related fatalities	Death rate, Hospitalization in IC Unit, Total length of hospitalization	

Review of accident causalities

- Literature review of existing research on accident casualties was performed for **each of the pillars separately**.
- **Causal relationships** between policies or measures and road safety outcomes were summarized.
- Review on **specific quantified causal relations** linking these indicators with the road safety outcomes was performed.
- Very **limited available information** from studies in middle and low-income countries.
- In some cases, a **quantitative relation** to estimate an overall accident reduction attributed to a specific indicator is not available.
- Some causal relationships identified in literature were found to be **incompatible** with each other.
- Some identified detailed causalities have been based on **logical assumptions**.





Overview of the SafeFITS model





The SafeFITS Database

- Architecture of the project Database
- Data indicators from the five layers:
 - Economy and Management
 - Transport Demand and Exposure
 - Road Safety Measures
 - Road Safety Performance Indicators
 - Fatalities and Injuries





Architecture of the Database

- Data from the five layers and the five pillars
- International databases explored: WHO, UN, IRF, OECD, etc.
- Data for **130 countries** with population higher than 2,8 million inhabitants
- Data refer to 2013 or latest available year





Economy and Management

Demographic and Economic Characteristics

- **Population** (World Bank Database)
- Area (World Bank Database)
- GNI per capita in US dollars (World Bank Database)
- Projected GDP per capita for 2015-2030 in 2010 US dollars (ERS International Macroeconomic Data Set)

Road Safety Management Indicators (WHO)

- Existence of **RS lead agency**
- The lead agency is funded
- Existence of national **RS strategy**
- The RS strategy is funded
- Existence of **RS fatality targets**





Transport Demand and Exposure

Roads

- Road network density (IRF)
- Percentage of **motorways** (IRF)
- Percentage of paved roads (IRF, CIA)

Vehicles (IRF)

• Number of **vehicles in use** in total and by type of vehicle

Traffic (IRF)

- Traffic Volume
- Inland surface **passengers transport**
- Inland surface freight transport





Road Safety Measures (1/2)

Roads (WHO)

- Road safety audits on new roads
- Existence of **speed law**
- Max **speed limits on urban roads** (no speed limits; >50 km/h; ≤50 km/h)
- Max speed limits on rural roads (no speed limits; 100-120 km/h; 70-90 km/h; ≤70 km/h)
- Max speed limits on motorways (no speed limits; ≤100 km/h; 100-120 km/h; ≥120 km/h)

<u>Vehicles</u>

- Existence of ADR law (UNECE)
- Vehicle standards include seat-belts, electronic stability control, pedestrian protection (WHO)
- New cars subjected to NCAP (WHO)

Post-crash care (WHO)

- Training in emergency medicine for doctors
- Training in emergency training for nurses





Road Safety Measures (2/2)

Road User (WHO)

- Existence of drink-driving law
- Allowed **BAC limits** (3 separate variables for general population, young/novice drivers, commercial drivers)
- Existence of national seat-belt law
- The seat-belt law **applies to all occupants**
- Existence of national child restraint law
- Existence of national helmet law
- The law requires helmet to be fastened
- The helmet law defines specific helmet standards
- Existence of national law on **mobile phone use** while driving
- The law applies to hand-held phones
- The law applies to hands-free phones
- Existence of **penalty point system**





Road Safety Performance Indicators

Traffic law enforcement (WHO)

- Assessment of effectiveness of **seat-belt law** enforcement
- Assessment of effectiveness of **drink-driving law** enforcement
- Assessment of effectiveness of **speed law** enforcement
- Assessment of effectiveness of helmet law enforcement

Road User (WHO)

- Seat-belt wearing rates in **front seats**
- Seat-belt wearing rates in **rear seats**
- Helmet wearing rates driver

Post-crash care

- Estimated percentage of **seriously injured patients** transported by ambulance (WHO)
- Number of hospital beds per population (World Bank Database)





Fatalities and Injuries

- Estimated number of road traffic fatalities (WHO)
- Estimated road traffic fatality rates per 100.000 population (WHO)
- Distribution of road traffic fatalities by road user type (WHO)
- Distribution of road traffic fatalities by gender (WHO)
- Percentage of road traffic fatalities attributed to alcohol (WHO)





SafeFITS Database

- Wherever data for 2013 were not available, the **latest data available** were used.
- The missing values of each indicator of the countries were filled with **the mean value** of the indicator in their regions.
- The respective information of each variable is **properly represented** in the database for the statistical process.
- Data for most variables were available for almost all countries.
- Low data availability is observed for few variables regarding:
 - the restraint use rates
 - the percentage of fatalities attributed to alcohol
 - the distribution of fatalities by road user type
 - transport demand and exposure indicators





SafeFITS Model Development

- Data Analysis Methodology
- Estimation of Composite Variables
- Development of Statistical Model
 Correlating road safety outcomes with composite variables
- Model Validation
- Customisation for Groups of Countries





Data Analysis Methodology

- **Two-step approach** of statistical modeling:
 - Estimation of **composite variables** (factor analysis) in order to take into account as many indicators as possible of each layer
 - Correlating road safety outcomes with indicators through composite variables by developing a regression model
- For efficient forecasting, it is necessary to make explicit consideration of time dimension
- Identification of groups of countries for better description by dedicated analyses





[Comp_EM] = -0.250 (EM2_lt15yo) + 0.229 (EM3_gt65yo) + 0.228 (EM4_UrbanPop) + 0.224 (EM7_NationalStrategy) + 0.221 (EM8_NationalStrategyFunded) + 0.222 (EM9_FatalityTargets) Indicator loadings and coefficients on the estimated factor (composite variable) on Economy and Management

	Component		
	Loadings	Score coefficients	
EM1_Popdensity	,091	,029	
EM2_lt15yo	-,778	-,250	
EM3_gt65yo	,714	,229	
EM4_UrbanPop	,709	,228	
EM5_LeadAgency	,284	,091	
EM6_LeadAgencyFunded	,226	,073	
EM7_NationalStrategy	,697	,224	
EM8_NationalStrategyFunded	,626	,201	
EM9_FatalityTargets	,692	,222	



Calculation of composite variables – Transport Demand and Exposure

[[Comp_TE] = 0.161 (TE1_RoadNetworkDensity) + 0.149 (TE2_Motorways) + 0.238 (TE3_PavedRoads) + 0.272 (TE4_VehiclesPerPop) + 0.267 (TE5_PassCars) -0.221 (TE7_PTW) - 0.117 (TE10_PassengerFreight) Indicator loadings and coefficients on the estimated factor (composite variable) on Transport Demand and Exposure

	Component		
	Loadings	Score coefficients	
TE1_RoadNetworkDensity	,497	,161	
TE2_Motorways	,460	,149	
TE3_PavedRoads	,734	,238	
TE4_VehiclesPerPop	,839	,272	
TE5_PassCars	,825	,267	
TE6_VansLorries	-,132	-,043	
TE7_PTW	-,681	-,221	
TE8_Vehkm_Total	,269	,087	
TE9_RailRoad	,136	,044	
TE10_PassengerFreight	-,360	-,117	



Calculation of composite variables - Measures

 $[Comp_ME] = 0.069(ME2_ADR) +$ 0.045(ME4 SpeedLimits urban) + 0.064(ME6_SpeedLimits_motorways) + 0.088(ME7_VehStand_seatbelts) + 0.091(ME8_VehStand_SeatbeltAnchorages) + 0.092(ME9_VehStand_FrontImpact) + 0.091(ME10 VehStand SideImpact) + 0.090(ME11 VehStand ESC) + 0.087(ME12 VehStand PedProtection) + 0.090(ME13_VehStand_ChildSeats) + 0.068(ME15_BAClimits) + 0.068(ME16_BAClimits_young) + 0.065(ME17 BAClimits commercial) + 0.057(ME19 SeatBeltLaw all) + 0.063(ME20 ChildRestraintLaw) + 0.034(ME22 HelmetFastened) + 0.038(ME23_HelmetStand) + 0.038(ME24_MobileLaw) + 0.035(ME25 MobileLaw handheld) + 0.038(ME27_PenaltyPointSyst) + 0.040(ME29_EmergTrain_nurses)



George Yannis, Professor NTU Athens

	Component	
	Loadings	Score coefficients
ME1_RSA	,245	,025
ME2_ADR	,681	,069
ME3_SpeedLaw	,229	,023
ME4_SpeedLimits_urban	,443	,045
ME5_SpeedLimits_rural	,200	,020
ME6_SpeedLimits_motorways	,634	,064
ME7_VehStand_seatbelts	,877	,088
ME8_VehStand_SeatbeltAnchorages	,906	,091
ME9_VehStand_FrontImpact	,908	,092
ME10_VehStand_SideImpact	,904	,091
ME11_VehStand_ESC	,891	,090
ME12_VehStand_PedProtection	,862	,087
ME13_VehStand_ChildSeats	,896	,090
ME14_DrinkDrivingLaw	,126	,013
ME15_BAClimits	,670	,068
ME16_BAClimits_young	,670	,068
ME17_BAClimits_commercial	,645	,065
ME18_SeatBeltLaw	,297	,030
ME19_SeatBeltLaw_all	,570	,057
ME20_ChildRestraintLaw	,628	,063
ME21_HelmetLaw	,236	,024
ME22_HelmetFastened	,334	,034
ME23_HelmetStand	,379	,038
ME24_MobileLaw	,375	,038
ME25_MobileLaw_handheld	,350	,035
ME26_MobileLaw_handsfree	-,295	-,030
ME27_PenaltyPointSyst	,378	,038
ME28_EmergTrain_doctors	,178	,018
ME29 EmergTrain nurses	300	040

Indicator loadings and coefficients on the estimated factor (composite variable) on Measures

Calculation of composite variables - SPIs

[Comp_PI] = 0.144 (PI1_SeatBeltLaw_enf) + 0.155 (PI2_DrinkDrivingLaw_enf) + 0.152 (PI3_SpeedLaw_enf) + 0.160 (PI4_HelmetLaw_enf) + 0.155 (PI5_SeatBelt_rates_front) + 0.146 (PI6_SeatBelt_rates_rear) + 0.150 (PI7_Helmet_rates_driver) + 0.127 (PI8_SI_ambulance) + 0.116 (PI9_HospitalBeds) Indicator loadings and coefficients on the estimated factor (composite variable) on SPIs

	Component		
	Loadings	Score coefficients	
PI1_SeatBeltLaw_enf	,756	,144	
PI2_DrinkDrivingLaw_enf	,812	,155	
PI3_SpeedLaw_enf	,795	,152	
PI4_HelmetLaw_enf	,837	,160	
PI5_SeatBelt_rates_front	,811	,155	
PI6_SeatBelt_rates_rear	,766	,146	
PI7_Helmet_rates_driver	,784	,150	
PI8_SI_ambulance	,667	,127	
PI9_HospitalBeds	,607	,116	



Final Statistical Model

The **optimal performing model** for the purposes of SafeFITS

- **Dependent variable** is the logarithm of the fatality rate per population for 2013
- The main **explanatory variables** are the respective logarithm of fatality rate in 2010 and the respective logarithm of GNI per capita for 2013
- Four **composite** variables: the economy & management, the transport demand and exposure, the measures, and the SPIs

			95% Confid	ence Interval	Hypothesis Test			
Parameter	В	Std. Error	Lower	Upper	Wald Chi- Square	df	p-value	
(Intercept)	1,694	,2737	1,157	2,230	38,291	1	<,001	
Comp_ME	-,135	,0646	-,261	-,008	4,358	1	,037	
Comp_TE	-,007	,0028	-,013	-,002	7,230	1	,007	
Comp_PI	-,007	,0030	-,013	-,001	5,652	1	,017	
Comp_EM	,007	,0051	-,003	,017	2,009	1	,156	
LNFestim_2010	,769	,0462	,678	,859	276,322	1	<,001	
LNGNI_2013	-,091	,0314	-,153	-,030	8,402	1	,004	
(Scale)	,038							
Likelihood Ratio	1379,00							
df	6							
p-value	<,001							



Statistical Model Assessment

In order to **assess** the model, a comparison of the observed and the predicted values was carried out:

- The mean absolute prediction error is estimated at 2.7 fatalities per population, whereas the mean percentage prediction error is estimated at 15% of the observed value.
- The model is of very satisfactory performance as regards the good performing countries (low fatality rate) and of quite satisfactory performance as regards the medium performing countries.





Statistical Model Validation

In order to validate the model, a cross-validation was carried out with two subsets:

- 80% of the sample was used to develop (fit) the model, and then the model was implemented to predict the fatality rate for 2013 of the 20% of the sample not used
- 70% of the sample was used to develop (fit) the model, and then the model was implemented to predict the fatality rate for 2013 of the 30% of the sample not used





Model Application

Examples of statistical model application:

- one low performance country
- two middle performance countries
- one high performance country





SafeFITS Model Demonstration

The overall model implementation includes 3 distinct steps:

- Step 1 Countries Benchmark
- Step 2 Forecast with no new interventions
- Step 3 Forecast with interventions





Step 1: Benchmark

<u>User input:</u>

The user has the option to select a country, the category of indicators to be displayed and benchmark type.

Analysis:

The outputs are based only on the database and no statistical modeling implementation is taking place.

Benchmarking results:

- Reactive diagrams presenting a benchmark of the base year situation for a selected category
- Benchmarking takes place on a global and regional scale





Step 2: Forecast with no new interventions

<u>User input:</u>

The user selects the intervention year and the benchmark type

<u>Analysis:</u>

The SafeFITS model is implemented for the year of reference on the basis of GNI and demographic indicators projection

Forecasting results:

The trend for the variable fatalities per population through the years (2013-2031), alongside with the confidence intervals

Benchmarking results:

- Overall ranking
- Regional ranking





Step 3: Forecast with interventions

<u>User input:</u>

The user selects the intervention year and then 3 different sets of interventions

<u>Analysis:</u>

The SafeFITS model is implemented for the forecasting year on the basis of the intervention set selected

Forecasting results:

The trend for the variable fatalities per population through the years (2013-2031), on which the forecast for the intervention year is also identifiable.

Benchmarking results:

- Overall ranking
- Regional ranking

	E.	18	k,
ß	80	۰.	2
12	\mathcal{N}	λ.	ï
15	٠٢	U	5
1	<u>, e</u>	-7	6
	~	-	~

modaction.	Benchmark	Forcast	Report Growation					Help (new window
erventions Year			Benchmark Type	6		Selected County		
1022		•	Globel		•	Vatnan	Report to Default	
Intersection (Smars 1	Inspert	for Gran 2	Instantion	Gmin 3		\sim	
Economy and Management	đ	Economy Manager	y and nont	Economy an Management	d I	22.5		
Population < 1	5 yn (5)	Population	n = 15 p.a. (%)	Population <1	550.15			
211		21.1		21.1	1	Itie		
Population > 6	5 y.o. (%)	Population	n> 15 y.a. (%)	Population > 6	63 a. fil	ate 20.0		
88		9.6	<u>+</u>	9.6	-			
Drben Populati	ion (%)	Urban Pop	volation (%)	Orban Populat	tion (%)	17.5		
32.21		32.21		12.31	1			
National Road	Strategy	National B	load Stategy	National Road	Strategy		2015 2020 2025	2090
1		1		1			Interventions,	
Funded Strittig	U .	Fonded St	coregy	Funded State	av .		Establish Connect Trend for 2022	
.05	-	0.5		0.5	-	00	Fatalities - Current Trend for 2022	
Fatality Reduct	ion Tarpet	Fetally Re	eduction Tanget	Fatality Reduc	tion Target			
1		1		t		1 16		
Transport De Exposure	manid Aod	Transport Exposure	t Demand And	Transport De Exposure	emand And	40		
Network Densit	γ	Network D	lenity .	Network Genal	÷			
0.65		0.65		1.15	*		Vietnam	
Motorwaya Per	tentige	Hotorways	n Percentage	Matorweys Per	rcentage	20	Contraction of Contraction	
134		134		1.34	-		and the second	
Paved Roads P	ercentage	Paved Rod	ada Percentage	Pared Roads	Percentage		and the second se	L.U.
52.15		豆15		71	4			I GREATHER WAR

Model limitations and future improvements

- The SafeFITS model was developed on the basis of the most recent and good quality data available internationally, and by means of rigorous statistical methods. However, data and analysis methods always have some limitations.
- Data are primarily **directed at vehicle occupants** and thus, effects on road safety outcomes of VRUs may not be captured.
- The effects of interventions may not reflect the unique contribution of each separate intervention. It is strongly recommended to **test combinations of "similar" interventions** (e.g. several vehicle standards, several types of enforcement or safety equipment use rates etc.)
- The factor analysis procedure **does not assume or indicate that a direct causal relationship exists**.
- The **calibration with new data** will be the ultimate way to fully assess the performance of the model.





Optimal use of the model

- Optimal use depends on:
 - Good knowledge of national data and their limitations
 - Good understanding of the SafeFITS model purpose and limitations
- Start from "no new intervention" scenario reference case
- Mind the data: some are estimated numbers (WHO), some were statistically imputed
- Uncertainty of forecasts: confidence intervals are provided
- Carefully select the groups of interventions to be tested jointly what else would be likely to change, together with a given change?
- Countries with very particular characteristics (very low GDP, very high share of motorcycle or cyclist fatalities) may exhibit larger inaccuracies
- Model forecasts of countries with already very good road safety performance may be conservative





Benefits for the Policy Makers

- The first global road safety model to be used for policy support
 - Global assessments (i.e. monitoring the global progress towards the UN road safety targets)
 - Individual country assessments of various policy scenarios
- A framework which enhances the understanding of road safety causalities, as well as of the related difficulties.
- Full exploitation of the currently available global data, and use of rigorous analysis techniques, to **serve key purposes in road safety policy analysis**: benchmarking, forecasting.
- An important step for **monitoring**, evidence-base and systems approach to be integrated in decision-making.
- A user-friendly and flexible tool that allows meaningful, structured and fully explanatory analysis.





Next Steps

- Pilot operation phase: Model tested by selected users and revised at the end of the first year
- Annual or bi-annual revisions of all SafeFITS components (knowledge base, database and statistical models)
- Monitor global developments in data availability and accuracy, so that the SafeFITS database is updated regularly and continuously.
- SafeFITS tool will be further enhanced by continuously taking into account users' feedback.





Working Party on Road Traffic Safety Geneva, 19 September 2017 United Nations Econonomic Committee for Europe

SafeFITS

A Global Road Safety Model For Future Inland Transport Systems

Prof. George Yannis, Dr. Eleonora Papadimitriou and Katerina Folla



Department of Transportation Planning and Engineering National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece