

05>09 OCTOBER 2020 12>16 OCTOBER 2020 19>22 OCTOBER 2020









#### Wednesday, October 14 2020

# Network-wide Road Safety Assessment

George Yannis, Professor NTUA



Department of Transportation Planning and Engineering, National Technical University of Athens

## Outline

#### 1. Methods to Assess Road Safety

- Accident occurrence
- "In-built" safety assessment

#### 2. Network-level Safety Assessment

- Methods, manuals, and tools
- Applied practices in Europe
- Considerations for automated vehicles

#### 3. Conclusions



## Methods to Assess Road Safety

- Accident occurrence: Identification of sections with high accident frequency – reactive approach
- "In-built" safety assessment: Consideration of roadway design characteristics to assess road safety – detailed proactive approach
- Network-wide safety assessment: Consideration of the in-built safety of an entire road network – *large scale proactive* approach





#### Methods to Assess Road Safety: Accident Occurrence

Collection of:

- Macroscopic data: recorded by the police and consists of 50-100 variables associated with the accident
- Microscopic data: collected by research institutes, hospitals, insurance companies, private companies and authorities and consists of >500 variables associated with the accident
- It is critical that these data are of good quality and consistently recorded.





## Methods to Assess Road Safety: "In-built" Safety

- Statistical mathematical models predicting the expected average accident frequency at the examined locations, as a function of traffic volume and road infrastructure characteristics (e.g. number of lanes, type of median, traffic control)
  - AASHTO Highway Safety Manual Safety Performance Functions and Crash Modification Factors
  - > PRACT models
- Road Safety Audits or Road Inspections



## Need for Network-level Safety Assessment

While detailed proactive approaches (SPFs, road safety audits and/or inspections) are the most effective ways to identify hazardous locations and thus, improve road safety, they are time- and resource-consuming methods.

On the other hand, **network-level safety assessment** provides an assessment of the **broader road network** and can identify those parts of the network that are in urgent need of improvement. This way, road safety-related resources are **allocated more effectively**.





## Need for Network-level Safety Assessment: Pro- or Re-active?

Generally in life, it is better to be proactive than reactive; being *reactive* means that *lives will be lost* before action is taken.

Proactive approaches that consider the geometric, operational, and traffic characteristics are applicable for:

≻ New roads

Countries where no reliable and accurate accident data are available

Combination of proactive and reactive approaches: Expansion of the network-level safety assessment framework to focus on locations with high accident concentration





#### Applied Network-level Safety Assessment Methods & Tools

#### EU-based and applied methodologies

- iRAP/EuroRAP protocols
- PRACT Project Models (CEDR)
- ETSC PIN ratings
- National German methodology (ESN)
- Work-related safety ratings in Sweden
- ECF ECS (European Certification standard)

#### International methodologies

- ➢ iRAP protocols
- World Bank road assessment methodology
- US Highway Safety Manual Predictive Method & IHSDM softwar
- Australian Road Safety Engineering Toolkit & ANRAM software



George Yannis, Professor NTUA – October 2020

#### Europe and Network-level Safety Assessment

Initiatives in several European Countries regarding network-level safety assessment involve the use of **iRAP protocols**. Some other applied practices are:

- Germany: use of maintenance-related approach on network-wide safety assessment
- Ireland: follows an extensive Road Safety Inspection process enhanced by the PRACT models
- Sweden: applies a qualitative road safety classification approach based on speed limits and geometric characteristics





#### NWRSA and Automation

- Systematic network-level safety assessment can be proved highly beneficial to monitor the impact of gradual deployment of automation to the changing road safety performance.
- ➤ The transition to automated / connected vehicles will allow for more effective ways of implementing proactive safety approaches, and thus network-level safety assessment, due to their ability to collect multiple types of road data. Specifically:
  - Vehicle kinematic data (e.g., speed, acceleration) that can be used instead of accident data
  - Maintenance-related data (e.g., marking and signs reflectivity and pavement quality) that can inform authorities for maintenance needs





#### Conclusion

Existing methods and practices rely on different assumptions and data and may produce output not always comparable

➤A new, integrated methodology that combines the advantages of proactive (in-built safety) and reactive (accidence occurrence) methods to assess road safety and rank road networks is needed

➤The methodology should achieve a balance between being accurate and detailed on one hand, without being overly data intensive and cumbersome in its implementation







05>09 OCTOBER 2020 12>16 OCTOBER 2020 19>22 OCTOBER 2020









#### Wednesday, October 14 2020

# Network-wide Road Safety Assessment

George Yannis, Professor NTUA



Department of Transportation Planning and Engineering, National Technical University of Athens