



National Technical University of Athens Road Safety Observatory



A Methodology for Network-wide Road Assessment - NetSafety

Anastasios Dragomanovits

Transportation Engineer, Research Associate

Together with: George Yannis, Katerina Deliali

The NetSafety project

Study on a Methodology for Network-wide Road Safety Assessment

Partners

- National Technical University of Athens (NTUA), Greece
- University of Zagreb Faculty of Transport and Traffic Sciences (FPZ), Croatia
- FRED Engineering s.r.l. (FRED), Italy
- Duration 36 months (September 2020 – September 2023)
- For the European Commission Directorate General for Mobility and Transport









Background

- EU Directive 2019/1936/EC revised the procedures of EU DIR 2008/96 on Road Infrastructure Safety Management (RISM) and extended the scope.
- The revised directive introduces the procedure of the Network-wide Road Safety Assessment, based on:
 - primarily, a visual examination, either on site or by electronic means, of the design characteristics of the road (in-built safety); and
 - an analysis of sections of the road network which have been in operation for more than three years and upon which many serious accidents in proportion to the traffic flow have occurred.

29.11.2008 EN	Official Journal of the European Union	L 319/59		
	DIRECTIVES			
DIRECTIVE 2008/96/EC	OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE	COUNCIL		
c	n road infrastructure safety management			
THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE EUROPEAN UNION,	20UNCEL OF THE shared responsibility' the infrastructure as the thir which should make an Computivia avoident co	e Commission identified road d pillar of road safety policy, important contribution to the button tame		
Having regard to the Treaty establishin Community, and in particular Article 71(1)(c	g the European) thereof, (4) In mont years major.	advances have been made in		
Having regard to the proposal from the Cor	whick design (safety m and application of ne helped to reduce the	technologies) which have number of people killed or		
Having regard to the opinion of the Europe Social Committee $(^{1})$,	an Economic and to be achieved, action mu Managing the safety of m	. If the target set for 2010 is ist be taken in other areas too. oad infrastructure offers plenty		
After consulting the Committee of the Regio	ns, 26.11.2019 EN	Official Journal of the European Union		
Acting in accordance with the procedur Article 251 of the Treaty (?),	e laid dow	I		
Whereas		(Legislative acts)		
(1) The trans-European road network defit 1692/96/EC of the European Path Council of 23 July 1996 on Commu- the development of the trans-E- network (P), is of paramount imports European integration and cohesion a a high level of well-being. In partical safety should be guaranteed.	red in Decisis ment and d hy guidelin ropean tras DIRECTIVE (EU) : well as en w, a high le antending	DIRECTIVES 2019/1936 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND O of 23 October 2019 Directive 2008/96/EC on road infrastructure safety man	F THE COUNCIL	
(2) In its White Paper of 12 Septembe transport pokey for 2010; time Commission expressed the need to impact assessments and mode slidery vi- identify and manage high accident con- within the Community. It also set that the Community is also set within the Community is also set within the Community. It also set within the Community is also set within the Community of the Community of the Community of the Community of the Community of the Community of the Community of the Community of the Community of the Com	2001 'fur to deckle carry out adas, in on carryin on target of the Treaty on carry out adas, in on target of the target of the After transmission of the daft Haring regard to the option Haring regard to the option	AD THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION. the Functioning of the European Union and in particular A from the European Committion, legislative act to the national paraliaments, f the European Economic and Social Committee (?), if the Committee of the Restons (?)	rticle 91(1) thereof,	
(3) In its Communication of 2 June 200 Safety Action Programme, Halving th accident victims in the European U	3 'European e number of Acting in accordance with the aion by 20	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure (9, Wherea:		
[1] OJ C 168, 207.2007, p. 71. (2) Option of the European Parliament of 19 (2) Option of the European Parliament of 19 (2) Option (2) Option (2) Option (2) Option (2) (2) Option (2) Option (2) Option (2) Option (2) (3) Option (2) Op	June 2008 (p nomic and Social Composition on a road Social Composition on a road Social Composition on a road Social Composition on a road Social Composition of the injures by 2030 compo- endorring the Valletta targets.	20 July 2010 from the Committion to the European Pari nittee and the Committee of the Regione entitled Towned out aftery 2011-2020 strated the strategic objectives of the mpared to 2010 and to move close to zero familiate by 202 entities of the Strategic Strategic Strategic Strategic Strategic version of March 2017. Greater efforts are therefore Declaration of March 2017. Greater efforts are therefore	iament, the Council, the Ec a European road safety an Union to halve the number 0. However, progress towar alving the number of serio f \$ june 2017 on road safe needed to attain both the	
	(2) According to the Safe should be a shared rep In particular, well-denig billity of road accident, do not immediately hav should provide guidance of all Member State.	(2) According to the 5afe 5ystem approach, death and serious injury in road accidents are largely preventable, though the athared responsibility at all levels to ensure that road accidents do not lead to action of that lajorist in particular, wild encoded, synoperly maintained and cinger to make hand readers the prob- buly of road accidents, whith Reprint groads (noted laid out in an intelligent way to ensure that driving error do not immediately have readous of fail consequences) should relacide the series of accidents. The Commission toold growing guidance for the provision and maintenance of fargiving roadsider, building on the experient of all Member States.		
	(3) The roads of the trans- of the European Parliar A high level of safety sh	uropean transport network (TEN-T network) identified in R ment and of the Council (*) are of key importance in supj ould therefore be guaranteed on those roads.	sgulation (EU) No 1315/201 porting European integratio	
	(4) The road infrastructure reduce fitalities and Directive 2005/96/EC / applying RISM principle much better road safety those RISM principles to	safety management (REM) procedures implemented on the terious injurites in the Union. It is clear from the e for European Parliament and of the Council (9) that Me es on a voluntary basis to their national roads beyond the performance than Member States which did not do so. It be applied to other parts of the European road network.	TEN-T network have help valuation of the effect mber States which have be TEN-T network have achiev is therefore also desirable f	
	 (i) Of C 62, 15.2.2010, p. 261. (i) Of C 163, 16.3.2019, p. 31. (ii) Orocitor O103, (iii) O103, (iiiii) O103,	nment of 4 April 2019 (nor yee published in the Official Journal of the European Delinament and of the Council of 11 Denomber: nen ranaport entereds and repulsing Detailon No 681/12100231 (0) roogan Dealament and of the Council of 19 November 2008 on r 59).	and Decision of the Council 2013 on Union guidelines for t 2442, 20.12.2013, p. 1). oad infrastructure safety manag	
			anta farr	



Study Concept & Objective

In accordance to the provisions of the Directive 2019/1936/EC, this project aims to develop a new, integrated methodology for a common network-wide road safety assessment & common safety rating system for classification of the existing road network in categories.

The main objectives are:

- The combination of "in-built" safety assessment and accident analysis methods by utilizing existing and/or easily collected datasets.
- ➤ The achievement of a consensus regarding this methodology between experts, road safety stakeholders and national road authority delegates, so that Member States can embrace it and support its implementation.





NetSafety Methodology (1/2)

Review of existing methodologies and practices that assess road safety:

- proactively (i.e., in-built safety assessment)
- reactively (i.e., analysis of accident records).
- ➢Understand data availability across the EU Member States, as road and accident data availability may affect the proposed methodology.
- Development of a methodology for assessing the inbuilt safety of roads via the identification of appropriate parameters and relationships that link the parameters to a selected safety outcome.
- Development of a methodology for accident occurrence analysis.



NetSafety Methodology (2/2)

- Integrate the two methodologies in a common framework for the network-wide road safety assessment.
- Evaluate the applicability of the proposed (integrated) methodology in a specific environment per Member State and provide Member State authorities guidelines on how to implement it.
- Maintain active communication and consultation with:
 - relevant stakeholders to inform them and receive their feedback for the proposed methodology,
 - EU Member States to **engage** them in adopting and implementing the methodology.



NWA Methodological Concept

- The integrated Network-Wide Assessment methodology will combine re-active (accident based) and pro-active (in-built safety assessment) approaches.
- A modular approach is proposed: <u>Minimum</u>: (low cost and level of detail)
 - NWA-b (basic)
 - NWA-s (statistical)
 - Optional: (high cost and level of detail)
 - NWA-a (advanced)
 - NWA-o (other iRAP)





Reactive and proactive safety assessment methods



- Accidents may not be the best proxy to assess infrastructure safety (because of local human factors, behaviour, enforcement, vehicle fleet characteristics, etc.).
- > Not applicable for:
 - Iow accident frequency
 - new roads
- Major road network improvements generally not examined.

Scientific and Social Impact

The proposed methodology will:

- integrate proactive and reactive safety assessment approaches to face the limitations of commonly applied accident-based assessments,
- enable large scale road safety assessments at network level in a cost-efficient way, thus allowing more targeted allocation of resources for detailed road safety inspections to high risk segments,
- provide a common understanding of the safety level of all major road networks across the EU Member States, and
- Iltimately, will contribute towards the reduction of road fatalities and injuries in the European Union.



Future Challenges

- ➢Increase data collection, recording and storing activities by Member States road authorities. Data availability and quality is essential for evidencebased road safety decision making and for efficient allocation of limited funds.
- ➢Work on automating and standardizing data collection and assessment procedures, e.g., using advanced technological equipment.
- ➤ The proposed methodology will be subject to modifications in light of automated driving especially for those parts of the network where CAVs can operate; essentially CAVs will enhance the wider adoption of in-built safety assessment.









National Technical University of Athens Road Safety Observatory



A Methodology for Network-wide Road Assessment - NetSafety

Anastasios Dragomanovits

Transportation Engineer, Research Associate

Together with: George Yannis, Katerina Deliali