

Driver Drowsiness Detection: Physiological Monitoring and Impact of Missing Inter-Beat Intervals



Aristotelis Styanidis^{1,2}, André Lourenço², George Yannis¹ ¹National Technical University of Athens, ²CardiolD Technologies

Problem Statement

Motivation: Annually around 1.35 million people die worldwide because of road accidents. Driver drowsiness can contribute to up to 20% of all road accidents.

Goal: Use physiological measurements to accurately and reliably detect and warn, in realtime, when the driver is unfit for a driving task, regardless of automation level.



Signal Acquisition

Processing

Machine Learning

Background

Drowsiness: Drowsiness is a state of strong desire for sleep or an increased tendency to fall asleep, often caused by insufficient rest, circadian rhythm disruptions, or sedative effects of medications. It is characterized by reduced alertness, difficulty keeping eyes open, and slowed reaction times.

Inter-Beat Interval (IBI): Inter-Beat Interval (IBI) refers to the time interval between consecutive heartbeats, typically in milliseconds (ms). It is derived from the time difference between successive heart contractions. IBI provides a fundamental measure of heart rate analysis.

Heart Rate Variability (HRV): Heart Rate Variability (HRV) refers to the variation in the time intervals between successive heartbeats (IBIs). It is a key indicator of autonomic nervous system activity, reflecting the balance between the sympathetic (fight-or-flight) and parasympathetic (rest-and-digest) nervous systems. Higher HRV generally indicates better cardiovascular adaptability and overall health, while lower HRV is associated with stress, fatigue, or disease conditions.

Figure 1. Acquisition – Processing – Machine Learning pipeline





Figure 2. Sensors for IBI deviation

1 Extremely Alert 2 Verv Alert 4 Rather Alert 5 Neither Alert nor Sleepy Some Signs of Sleepiness 7 Sleepy, but no effort to keep awake 8 Sleepy, some effort to keep awake 9 Sleepy, great effort to keep awake, fighting sleep

Figure 3. Karolinska Sleepiness Scale (KSS)

Table 1. Key differences between sensors

| Sensor Type | Measurement Principle | Advantages | Limitations |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Electrocardiography (ECG) | Electrical signals from the heart | High accuracy, clinical standard | Requires direct skin contact, often uses |

Contactless, good for

remote monitoring

Radar

Optical detection of blood Non-invasive, used in Photoplethysmography (PPG) wearables volume changes

> Doppler-based detection of heart-induced chest movements

electrodes

Susceptible to motion artifacts, lower accuracy than ECG

Expensive, less precise than ECG

Challenges

- Participant Non-cooperation
- Sensor Noise
- Novelty \bullet
- Ethics Personal Data Privacy \bullet
- General Safety Regulation (GSR) \bullet
- New Car Assessment Program (NCAP)

Conclusion

- Reasonable amount of missing data does not significantly degrade ML performance
- Individual variability affects ML performance \bullet







Future Work

- Multimodal learning \bullet
- On-device Al \bullet
- Federated learning

Figure 4. Missing Data Handling Workflow

Contact

Aristotelis Styanidis

National Technical University of Athens astyanidis@mail.ntua.gr

CardioID Technologies ari@cardio-id.com





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101119590.