

6° Πανελλήνιο Συνέδριο Οδικής Ασφάλειας www.nrso.ntua.gr/roadsafety2015



Αμφιθέατρο Υπουργείου Οικονομίας, Υποδομών, Ναυτιλίας και Τουρισμού 12-13 Μαρτίου 2015

Driving performance profiles of drivers with brain pathologies in rural roads Dimosthenis Pavlou¹, Ion Beratis², Eleonora Papadimitriou¹, George Yannis¹, John Golias¹, Sokratis G. Papageorgiou²

¹National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

²National Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

Email: dpavlou@central.ntua.gr

Introduction

- Despite the fact that road traffic casualties presented a constantly decreasing trend during the last years, the number of fatalities in road accidents in several countries in Europe and in Greece in particular is still unacceptable and illustrates the need for even greater efforts with respect to better driving performance and increased road safety
- Older drivers generally exhibit **a higher risk** of involvement in a road accident
- A number of **brain pathologies** may affect driving performance in the general population and particularly in the elderly. More specifically, diseases affecting a person's brain functioning (e.g. presence of specific brain pathology due to cerebral diseases such as Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI), Alzheimer's disease (AD), Parkinson's disease (PD), may significantly impair the person's driving performance, especially when unexpected incidents occur



Objectives

- present and analyze the driving performance profiles of drivers with some brain pathology in rural driving environment, by means of a simulator experiment
- ✓ brain pathologies examined are AD, PD, MCI and some others
- \checkmark driving performance measures examined: mean speed, lateral position, steering angle, time headway, reaction time at unexpected events
- \checkmark the driving performance of drivers impaired by the brain pathologies is compared to that of healthy controls by means of descriptive statistics

Driving simulator experiment

- Distract and DriverBrain research projects
 - ✓ Medical/neurological assessment (full clinical medical, ophthalmological and neurological evaluation)
 - ✓ Neuropsychological assessment (a series of neuropsychological tests and psychologicalbehavioural questionnaires)
 - ✓ Driving at the simulator (concerns the driving behaviour by means of programming of a set of driving tasks into a driving simulator for different driving scenarios)

"Driving at the simulator" assessment

- quarter-cab driving simulator manufactured by the FOERST Company (3 LCD wide screens 42", full HD, total field of view 170 degrees, validated against a real world environment)
- At first, **one practice drive** (usually 10-15 minutes)

QL aver	43,76	37,73	QL
QH aver+stdev	48,13	43,23	QH
QH aver-stdev	36,07	28,59	QH
QH aver	42.10	35.91	E QH

QL aver	51,68	78,48
QH aver+stdev	45,58	80,56
QH aver-stdev	11,47	14,31
QH aver	28,53	47,44

QL aver	1616,31	2113,12
QH aver+stdev	2330,84	2946,77
QH aver-stdev	1143,60	1535,47
QH aver	1737,22	2241,12

Results (lateral control measures) Lateral Position

- Lateral position profiles of both examined groups don't seem to have any significant differences
- In high traffic volume both control and impaired drivers drive approximately 10% more closely to the right border of the road Steering Angle*
- No significant differences are presented between control and impaired group
- In high traffic volumes all participants tend to drive at the right border of the road

*the steering angle figure is not presented because of no significant differences



Lateral Position



Speed profiles

- All drivers present very similar speed profiles in terms of general shape
- incidents at around Km 1.05 and Km 1.35
- Healthy drivers drove the trial road section at higher speeds than impaired drivers • It is also observed that the difference between the two traffic volumes is obvious in both examined groups

- Afterwards, the participant drives one rural session (2.1 km long, single carriageway, zero gradient, mild horizontal curves, approximately 15 minutes)
- Two traffic scenarios examined: ✓ Low traffic conditions (Q=300 vehicles/hour)
 - ✓ High traffic conditions (Q=600 vehicles/hour)
- Consequently, each participant drives 2 trials of the simulated route
- During each trial, **2 unexpected incidents** are scheduled to occur:
 - \checkmark sudden appearance of an animal (deer or donkey) on the roadway (photo)



Analysis data and methods

- Descriptive statistics
- 190 participants have participated in the experiment
- 36 eliminated due to simulator sickness issues
- 40 participants of age < 55 years old eliminated too for age representativity reasons
- The analysis is thus based on **114 participants**:
 - ✓ **34 are controls** (66.0 y.o.±8.6, 17 males)
 - ✓ 80 are impaired (69.0 y.o.±9.1, 55 males)
 - ✓ 17 AD patients (74.4 y.o.±5.2)
 - ✓ 35 MCI patients (70.1 y.o.±5.0)
 - ✓ 16 PD patients (66.1 y.o.±7.6)
- ✓ 12 patients of other brain pathologies (66.2 y.o. \pm 6.4) • Driving performance measures examined: ✓ Longitudinal control measures: Mean speed, Time headway, Reaction time ✓ Lateral control measures: Lateral position and Steering angle

Lateral Position Profiles

- Lateral position profiles between healthy drivers impaired drivers and exhibit more similarities in terms of overall shape of the profile and magnitude of the examined measure
- It seems that high traffic conditions lead drivers to drive to the right border of the road

Conclusions and discussion

- Control drivers drove at approximately 16% higher speed than impaired drivers in both low and high traffic volumes and have lower variability in their speed
- Impaired drivers keep much larger headways than control ones (more than 50% larger)
- Impaired drivers have very large variability in headways along the driving route. This means that they cannot adjust their speed and have difficulties in keeping constant and safe headways
- The lateral position profiles presented a large variability in impaired drivers' lateral position along the route, which means difficulties in positioning the vehicle properly in the lane • The higher the traffic is, the more variability in steering angle the control drivers have, whereas the lower traffic volume is, the more variability in wheeling angle the impaired drivers have • A possible explanation is that in high traffic volumes healthy drivers may try to overtake the vehicle ahead and thus they have variability in wheeling angle, whereas impaired drivers (who drive at lower speeds) may use the vehicle ahead as a "guide" and just drive behind them conservatively. In every case, this finding is very interesting and will be examined in the future in a larger sample • Finally, drivers suffering from brain pathology have significantly larger reaction times in both traffic environments compared to the control group (almost 30% worse reaction times) All above results are quite promising and **confirm the initial hypotheses** of the research that brain pathologies may deteriorate driving performance in several ways Finally, the results are to be considered within the limiting context of driving simulator studies driving performance is known to be more accurately and reliably estimated by means of on-road studies

Results (longitudinal control measures) Mean Speed

- Control drivers drove the trial road section at approximately 16% higher speed than impaired drivers in both low and high traffic volumes
- Mean speed is lower in high traffic volume conditions, as expected
- Finally, the variability of speed is lower in high traffic volume as expected

Time headway

- Impaired drivers keep larger headways from the vehicle ahead compared to the control group (52% in low traffic volume and 66% in high traffic volume)
- It's worth noticing the large variability of mean space headways for impaired drivers in both traffic volumes compared with the variability of the control group headways

Reaction time

- Impaired drivers had worse reaction times than the control ones (30% worse overall)
- Finally, traffic volume appears to affect the reaction time of all drivers

Acknowledgments

This paper is based on two research projects implemented within the framework of the Operational Program "Education and Lifelong Learning" of the National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF), namely the Research Funding Program: THALES. Investing in knowledge society through the European Social Fund, and the Action: ARISTEIA (Action's Beneficiary: General Secretariat for Research and Technology), co-financed by the European Union (European Social Fund – ESF) and Greek national funds.

