



Conférence Européenne
des Directeurs des Routes
Conference of European
Directors of Roads

Development of a Crash Modification Factors Model in Europe



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
FIRENZE
DICEA
DIPARTIMENTO
DI INGEGNERIA CIVILE
E AMBIENTALE



Technische
Universität
Berlin

Imperial College
London

With the support of:



Structure of the presentation

1. The PRACT Project
2. CMF Development: Motivation and Contribution
3. Methodology and Key Results
4. Conclusions

1. The PRACT Project



Key Objective

- The PRACT project **aimed to develop a practical guideline and a user friendly tool** that will allow the different road administrations to:
 - **adapt the basic APM function to local conditions** based on historical data
 - **identify the CMFs that could be relevant** for the specific application
 - **verify if the selected CMFs are transferable** to the specific condition
 - **apply the calibrated model** to the specific location to be analysed.

The Consortium




with the support of

With the support of:



- Project Manager: Elizabeth Mathie, Highways Agency - UK
- Funded by the national road authorities of Germany, Ireland, UK and Netherlands within the CEDR 2013 Call Safety

Project Work Programme



- Two year project that ended in March 2016. Workshop in Berlin 19 on \$\$\$\$.
- Project outputs available at www.practproject.eu
- Repository available at www.pract-repository.eu

2. CMF Development: Motivation and Contribution

Motivation and Contribution

- There is a lack of CMF estimates based on European data
- A questionnaire survey of worldwide National Road Agencies and a comprehensive review of existing literature on CMFs for 92 countermeasures/road features helped identify CMF needs
- Within PRACT, new CMFs were estimated to fill some of these needs
- Estimation of new CMFs was somewhat constrained by data availability

CMFs developed within PRACT

- Italy, rural motorways
 - Work zones
 - Speed enforcement (section control)
 - High friction wearing course
- Germany, two-way two-lane rural roads
 - Traffic composition (% HGV)
 - Lane width
 - Horizontal curvature
 - Vertical gradient
- England, two-way two-lane rural roads
 - Traffic composition (% HGV, % two-wheel motor vehicles)
 - Horizontal curvature
 - Vertical gradient

These are CMFs that

- were identified as highly desirable and often lacking based on survey & lit. review
- for which suitable data for estimation were available

3. Methodology and Key Results

Methodologies used for CMF development

- Italy, rural motorways

- Work zones
- Speed enforcement (section control)
- High friction wearing course

Empirical-Bayes
Before-After

- Germany, two-way two-lane rural roads

- Traffic composition
- Lane width
- Horizontal curvature
- Vertical gradient

Negative Binomial
Models

- England, two-way two-lane rural roads

- Traffic composition
- Horizontal curvature
- Vertical gradient

Methodologies used for CMF development

- Empirical-Bayes Before-After
 - Controls for the effects of regression to the mean which can arise when countermeasures are implemented at accident blackspots
 - Requires data on the year/date of treatment/countermeasure implementation, and on accident rates and traffic flow both before and after implementation
- Negative Binomial models
 - Suitable for CMF estimation for road features or countermeasures that are independent of accident rates (e.g. traffic composition, blanket treatments)
 - Advantage: can provide CMF estimates as a function of the countermeasure of interest

Key results (I)

Presence of a work zone	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Presence of a work zone increases accidents by 33%• Some work zone layouts are more dangerous than others: A partial diversion of flow in 2-lane carriageways, with a single lane not diverted, increases accidents more than threefold (compared to no works at all).• Some work zone layouts appear not to affect accidents (e.g. closure of emergency or slow lane in 3-lane carriageways)
Speed enforcement (section control)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 0.52-1.55 depending on injury/ crash type and traffic flow• In the range 0.81-0.92 in most cases• Larger effect when traffic flow is high (0.5-0.6 for multi-vehicle crashes when AADT \geq 55000 veh/day)• No effect in some cases - most importantly no effect on single vehicle fatal and injury crashes irrespective of AADT• +/-ve effect on multi-vehicle PDO crashes & low AADT (< 25,500 veh/day)
High friction wearing course	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• CMF = 0.27 for fatal and injury run-off-road crashes on wet pavements

Key results (II)

Variable	Germany	England
Road width (RW) - metres	$e^{-0.17 \cdot \Delta RW}$	-
Horizontal curvature (HC)	$e^{0.003 \cdot \Delta HC}$	insignificant
Vertical gradient (V) - %	insignificant	$e^{0.09 \cdot \Delta V}$
% HGV (HGV)	insignificant	$e^{-7.6 \cdot \Delta HGV}$
% two wheel traffic	-	insignificant

Results obtained from the two models are not comparable. Could be due to:

- CMFs not being transferable between countries
- Slight differences in variable definition (e.g. horizontal curvature)
- Data used in estimation

4. Conclusions

Conclusions and future research directions

- Gaps exist in the CMF literature. There is a lack of European estimates.
- Gaps are difficult to fill due to a lack of suitable data for estimation.
- Within PRACT, CMFs for 8 countermeasures/road features were estimated to fill some of these gaps. CMF development was constrained by data availability.
- Increased data availability could allow the use of advanced causal methods to estimate CMFs (e.g. propensity score).
- More information on PRACT activities can be found at www.practproject.eu

New CMFs: Key conclusions reached

- The effect of road characteristics and traffic composition on accident rates could depend on the road network under consideration
- High friction wearing course can reduce run-off-road crashes on wet pavements by 73%
- A 10-20% decrease in accidents can be expected with speed enforcement (section control), but this may depend on the level of traffic flow and the type of crash considered
- In general, the presence of work zone increases accidents by 33%; the effect can vary depending on the layout from no effect to up to a threefold increase