

1 **THE VALUE OF MINI MENTAL STATE EXAMINATION (MMSE) AND MONTREAL**  
2 **COGNITIVE ASSESSMENT (MoCA) IN THE PREDICTION OF FITNESS TO DRIVE**  
3 **IN PATIENTS WITH MILD COGNITIVE IMPAIRMENT (MCI) AND MILD**  
4 **ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE (AD)**  
5  
6  
7

8 **Ion N. Beratis**, PhD Research Associate

9 Behavioral Neurology and Neuropsychology Unit, 2nd Department of Neurology,  
10 National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, "Attikon" University Hospital,  
11 1 Rimini Str, 12462 Haidari, Athens, Greece, Tel: +302105832466;

12 Email: [ionas96@hotmail.com](mailto:ionas96@hotmail.com)  
13

14 **Evangelia Stanitsa**, Research Assistant

15 Behavioral Neurology and Neuropsychology Unit, 2nd Department of Neurology,  
16 National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, "Attikon" University Hospital,  
17 1 Rimini Str, 12462 Haidari, Athens, Greece, Tel: +302105832466;

18 Email: [eva.st.92@gmail.com](mailto:eva.st.92@gmail.com)  
19

20 **Dionysia Kontaxopoulou**, Research Assistant

21 Behavioral Neurology and Neuropsychology Unit, 2nd Department of Neurology,  
22 National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, "Attikon" University Hospital,  
23 1 Rimini Str, 12462 Haidari, Athens, Greece, Tel: +302105832466;

24 Email: [d.kontaxopoulou@hotmail.com](mailto:d.kontaxopoulou@hotmail.com)  
25

26 **Stella Fragkiadaki**, Research Assistant

27 Behavioral Neurology and Neuropsychology Unit, 2nd Department of Neurology,  
28 National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, "Attikon" University Hospital,  
29 1 Rimini Str, 12462 Haidari, Athens, Greece, Tel: +302105832466;

30 Email: [st.fragkiadaki@gmail.com](mailto:st.fragkiadaki@gmail.com)  
31

32 **Panagiota Kontari**, Research Assistant

33 Behavioral Neurology and Neuropsychology Unit, 2nd Department of Neurology,  
34 National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, "Attikon" University Hospital,  
35 1 Rimini Str, 12462 Haidari, Athens, Greece, Tel: +302105832466;

36 Email: [teta.kont@gmail.com](mailto:teta.kont@gmail.com)  
37

38 **Dimosthenis Pavlou**, PhD Research Associate, Corresponding Author

39 National Technical University of Athens,

40 Department of Transportation Planning and Engineering

41 5 Heroon Polytechniou Str, GR-15773 Athens, Greece, Tel: (+30)2107722210;

42 Email: [dpavlou@central.ntua.gr](mailto:dpavlou@central.ntua.gr)  
43

44 **Panagiotis Papantoniou**, PhD Research Associate

45 National Technical University of Athens,

46 Department of Transportation Planning and Engineering

47 5 Heroon Polytechniou Str, GR-15773 Athens, Greece, Tel: (+30)2107723167;

48 Email: [ppapant@central.ntua.gr](mailto:ppapant@central.ntua.gr)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

**Alexandra Economou**, Associate Professor  
National and Kapodistrian University of Athens,  
School of Philosophy, Department of Psychology  
Zografos University Campus, GR-15784, Athens, Greece, Tel: +302107277589;  
Email: [aoikono@psych.uoa.gr](mailto:aoikono@psych.uoa.gr)

**George Yannis**, Professor  
National Technical University of Athens,  
Department of Transportation Planning and Engineering  
5 Heroon Polytechniou Str, GR-15773 Athens, Greece, Tel: (+30)2107721326;  
Email: [geyannis@central.ntua.gr](mailto:geyannis@central.ntua.gr)

**Sokratis G. Papageorgiou**, Associate Professor  
Behavioral Neurology and Neuropsychology Unit, 2nd Department of Neurology,  
National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, “Attikon” University Hospital,  
1 Rimini Str, 12462 Haidari, Athens, Greece, Tel: +302105832466;  
Email: [sokpapa@med.uoa.gr](mailto:sokpapa@med.uoa.gr)

Word count: 5,488 words

Submitted: 30 July 2017

**1 ABSTRACT**

2 Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) and Mini Mental Examination (MMSE) are commonly  
3 used cognitive screening instruments. Although MMSE has been previously associated with  
4 driving fitness, MoCA has not been widely explored in that perspective. The aim of the study was  
5 to explore whether significant correlations would be present between the aforementioned tests and  
6 specific driving indexes in patients with amnesic Mild Cognitive Impairment (aMCI), mild  
7 Alzheimer's disease (mAD) and healthy individuals. Forty-four aMCI patients ( $69.1\pm 8.9$  years),  
8 23 mAD patients ( $73.7\pm 6.8$  years), and 30 healthy individuals ( $65.9\pm 5.7$  years) were assessed in  
9 rural and urban areas through the use of a driving simulator. Both tests were significantly  
10 associated with accident probability and reaction time in both driving conditions in aMCI patients,  
11 while MoCA was also significantly correlated with speed limit violations in the rural area. In mAD  
12 patients, both tests indicated a significant correlation with headway distance in the rural area and  
13 accident probability in the urban area. MoCA also showed a significant correlation with average  
14 speed in rural area. No association with any of the driving indexes was reported for the healthy  
15 individuals. Both measures of general cognitive functioning, with a relative advantage of the  
16 MoCA, appear to be associated with crucial indexes related to driving fitness in patients with aMCI  
17 and mild AD. Nonetheless, it is recommended that these measures should not be used  
18 independently but instead as elements of a broader evaluation when taking decisions related to  
19 driving fitness in drivers belonging to the specific clinical groups.

20

21

22 *Keywords:* Montreal Cognitive Assessment, Mini Mental State Examination, driving ability,  
23 driving simulator, Mild Cognitive Impairment, Alzheimer's Disease

24

## 1 INTRODUCTION

2 Driving is a highly complex task that requires a combination of physical and mental skills (1).  
3 According to previous studies, decline in cognitive functions due to neurodegenerative diseases  
4 influences in a critical way the ability to operate a vehicle safely (2). Alzheimer's disease (AD), is  
5 the most frequent neurodegenerative disease causing dementia. Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI),  
6 is an intermediate state between dementia and normal cognition in the elderly (3) that most  
7 commonly progresses to AD.

8 Numerous studies have investigated the driving performance of patients with AD using  
9 on-road evaluations and simulator driving experiments, revealing a significant impairment on  
10 various driving indexes, such as accident probability, reaction time, maintaining proper speed and  
11 taking left turns (2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8). However, patients at the earlier stages of the disease, may maintain  
12 their driving fitness (4, 9, 10, 11, 12). Apart from driving experience, several factors assist in  
13 differentiating between safe drivers and those at risk: sex, disease severity and duration, self and  
14 family assessment, performance in on road evaluations and driving simulators, as well as  
15 neuropsychological measures (4).

16 Although previous research has focused on driving ability of patients with dementia,  
17 fewer studies have assessed driving fitness of patients with MCI (2, 13, 14, 15). To date, no  
18 consensus has been reached by studies assessing the driving safety of MCI patients both in driving  
19 simulator experiments and in on road tests. Although some studies report impaired driving ability  
20 of patients with MCI in comparison to cognitively intact individuals (16, 17, 18), a respected  
21 number of studies, does not indicate statistically significant differences, suggesting that overall  
22 driving performance of MCI patients is within the normal range. However, most researchers agree  
23 that although MCI patients do not drive consistently worse than the healthy elderly, they tend to  
24 make more driving errors and present trends towards impaired driving performance in comparison  
25 to cognitively intact elderly drivers. In most studies, those trends fail to reach statistical  
26 significance but nonetheless raise concerns regarding the potential driving safety of individuals  
27 with mild cognitive impairments (7, 15, 17, 19).

28 Given the fact that driving requires multiple cognitive skills, namely attention, speed of  
29 processing and visuospatial perception, clinicians should consider multiple factors when  
30 evaluating driving competency of patients. Diagnosis of dementia per se should not be the reason  
31 of withdrawing a patient's driving privileges. A useful guideline for clinicians, in order to make  
32 accurate and valid recommendations, is the utilization of a personalized approach taking into  
33 account the individual's driving performance along with the level of cognitive and functional  
34 impairment (4, 20, 21).

35 In line with a personalized approach concept, neuropsychological assessment is a  
36 potentially reliable and cost-effective means for identifying at risk drivers. Despite the  
37 inconsistency of findings from past studies that have examined the correlation between cognitive  
38 domains and driving ability, there is strong evidence that cognitive performance is highly  
39 associated with driving fitness (5, 18). Thus, a combination of neuropsychological, and  
40 neurological measures along with a detailed evaluation of driving performance through on road or  
41 driving simulator assessments, should be used to identify older drivers at risk (22).

42 One of the most frequently administered neuropsychological instruments for screening  
43 dementia is the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) (23). Multiple studies have examined the  
44 utility of MMSE as a predictor of driving ability among individuals with dementia, but have  
45 revealed contradictory results. Some researchers have indicated a significant association of MMSE  
46 performance and driving ability (19, 20, 23, 24), while others did not identify any associations  
47 between those measures (25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30). A significant distinction, however, should be made

1 regarding the nature of the samples that were utilized by studies that have found significant  
2 correlations with the MMSE in comparison to the studies that do not identify such correlations.  
3 The majority of the samples examined in the studies that detect significant associations included  
4 individuals with cognitive disorders (e.g. patients with AD), while the majority of the samples in  
5 the studies which do not verify those results included participants from the general population.  
6 Thus, it appears that the MMSE is associated at a greater extent with various indexes of driving  
7 fitness when applied in clinical populations because of the greater variability that exists on the test  
8 performance patterns as compared to the case of cognitively healthy individuals that commonly  
9 achieve maximum scores on the specific instrument.

10 Another widely used cognitive screening tool is the Montreal Cognitive Assessment  
11 (MoCA) (31). MoCA is a highly sensitive tool for the identification of milder forms of cognitive  
12 impairment, has excellent test-retest reliability (31, 32, 33, 34, 35) and is less prone to ceiling  
13 effects than MMSE (36, 37). According to Esser et al. (38), MoCA could be utilized by clinicians  
14 as a useful screening tool for identifying whether a driving assessment is necessary for individuals  
15 self-reporting concerns about their driving ability.

16 To our knowledge, only one study has directly compared the MMSE and the MoCA  
17 regarding their capacity to predict unsafe driving behavior. Hollis et al. (25) compared MMSE and  
18 MoCA in predicting driving risk in individuals with and without cognitive impairment by using a  
19 standardized on-road evaluation. According to this study, in the case of cognitively healthy  
20 individuals none of the two aforementioned screening tests could serve as an effective indicator of  
21 driving performance. On the other hand, regarding participants who were classified under the  
22 cognitively impaired spectrum, the results showed that both tests could serve as significant  
23 predictors of unsafe driving behavior, indicating however an advantage of the MoCA test which  
24 yielded stronger associations with driving performance than the MMSE. However, it should be  
25 noted, that the clinical sample of this study was heterogeneous and the classification regarding the  
26 presence or absence of cognitive impairment was based on the reason of referral without applying  
27 a detailed neuropsychological evaluation as indicated by the most recent diagnostic criteria.

28 The aim of the present study was to investigate the strength of the association of two  
29 routine cognitive screening tools, namely MMSE and MoCA, with fitness to drive related-  
30 measures in individuals diagnosed with MCI and mild AD according to well-established diagnostic  
31 criteria (3, 39). This factor renders originality to the current work, because previous relevant  
32 research comparing MMSE and MoCA (25) has assessed the role of cognitive impairment in a  
33 more general way without using strict criteria and detailed neuropsychological testing. Based on  
34 accumulated findings of previous research works, significant associations were expected to be  
35 observed between these two cognitive measures and various driving indexes. Additionally, given  
36 the important role of executive functioning on driving behavior (40), we hypothesized that the  
37 MoCA test, due to its greater executive load as compared to the MMSE (41), would be more  
38 effective for identifying associations with the fitness to drive related-measures that were applied  
39 in the current study.

## 40 **METHODOLOGY**

### 41 **Participants**

42  
43 The current study included 44 patients with amnesic MCI (aMCI; mean age=69.1, SD=8.9), 23  
44 patients with mild AD (mean age= 73.7, SD=6.8) and 30 cognitively intact individuals (mean  
45 age=65.9, SD=5.7). All participants underwent a comprehensive neurological and  
46 neuropsychological assessment. Diagnosis of MCI was based on the Petersen and Morris criteria  
47

1 (2005) along with a score of  $\leq 0.5$  in the Clinical Dementia Rating Scale (CDR) (42). Additionally,  
2 by applying the same criteria (3), all MCI patients were classified as of the amnesic subtype.  
3 Diagnosis of mild AD was based on the McKhann et al. criteria (39) along with a score  $\leq 1$  in the  
4 CDR. In order to participate in the study, additional strict criteria were required: a) a valid driving  
5 license, b) participants should be active drivers: driving at least once a week, driving at least  
6 10km/week and count more than 2500km of driving, all three conditions within the last year, c)  
7 participants should be experienced drivers: more than three years licensed driving experience, d)  
8 no history of psychosis e) no significant motor or visual disorders f) no complaints of dizziness or  
9 nausea while in a moving vehicle, neither as a driver nor as a passenger, g) no record of traffic  
10 accidents, h) no evidence for alcohol or drug addiction. The clinical groups consisted of  
11 consecutive visitors of the Cognitive Disorders/Dementia Unit of the 2nd Department of  
12 Neurology at NKUA “Attikon” University General Hospital in Athens. The control group included  
13 family members or informants that visited our Unit during the data collection period of the study.  
14 The participation to the study was voluntary and informed consent was obtained from all the  
15 individuals that were included in the sample. Participants were informed about the nature and the  
16 phases of the study. They were ensured that their background information would remain  
17 confidential and would be used only for research purposes, and that they had the right to cease the  
18 procedure at any time. The current study was approved by the ethical committee of “Attikon”  
19 University General Hospital.

20

## 21 Procedure

22 The collection of data was divided in two Phases. During Phase-A, all the participants underwent  
23 a thorough neurological, neuropsychological and ophthalmological assessment. MMSE and  
24 MoCA were administered as a measures of the neuropsychological assessment. Both tests are brief,  
25 well accepted cognitive screening instruments that are used to evaluate the general cognitive ability.  
26 MMSE contains 30 items (maximum score: 30 points). Five cognitive functions are briefly  
27 assessed: a) orientation (time and space: 5 points each), b) memory (learning and delayed recall:  
28 3 points each), c) attention (5 points), d) language (8 points), and e) constructional skills (1 point).  
29 MoCA is also a 30 points neuropsychological tool. Five specific cognitive domains are evaluated:  
30 a) attention/executive function: Trail Making Test (1 point), digit span (2 points), target detection  
31 (1 point), verbal fluency (1 point), abstraction (2 points) and serial seven subtraction (3 points), b)  
32 visuospatial ability: Clock Drawing (3 points) and copying a cube (1 point), c) language: object  
33 naming (3 points) and sentence repetition (2 points), d) memory: delayed recall of 5 previously  
34 presented words (5 points) and e) orientation: orientation questions (6 points).

35 In Phase-B, the driving simulator experiment was conducted. First, there was a 5-10  
36 minutes practice session aiming to familiarize the participants with the simulation environment.  
37 Then, two driving sessions followed, with an overall duration of approximately 20 minutes. Each  
38 session represented a distinct driving surrounding, one taking place at an urban environment and  
39 the other taking place at a rural environment. The urban driving condition comprised of a total  
40 driving distance of 1.7 km, a dual carriageway at its bigger part, separated by guardrails, with 3.5m  
41 lane width and a speed limit of 60 km/h.

42 The rural driving condition consisted of a 2.1km driving route, two lanes with 3m width,  
43 no gradient inclination and mild horizontal curves and a speed limit of 70km/h. In both sessions  
44 traffic volume was moderate (average volume  $Q=300$  vehicles per hour) and two unexpected  
45 incidents occurred on the roadway: in the urban environment there was a sudden appearance of a  
46 child chasing a ball or a vehicle leaving a parking position, while in the rural environment the  
47 unexpected appearance of an animal (donkey or deer). However, these incidents were scheduled

1 at fixed points along the way. Phase-B, took place at the Department of Transportation Planning  
2 and Engineering of the National Technical University of Athens. The driving simulator was a  
3 Foerst FPF, validated against a real world environment (43).

4 The indexes assessed for the current study from the driving simulator experiment were:  
5 a) the average speed in kilometers per hour, b) the lateral position of the vehicle (distance from the  
6 central road axis in meters), c) the headway distance with the preceding vehicle (mean headway  
7 in meters), d) the reaction time at the unexpected incidents (in milliseconds), e) the number of  
8 speed limit violations, and e) the accident probability.

9

## 10 RESULTS

11 The descriptive characteristics of the participants are presented in the Table 1. A One-way Analysis  
12 of Variance (ANOVA) was conducted in order to investigate possible differences between mild  
13 AD patients, aMCI patients and cognitively intact individuals in terms of age, years of education,  
14 performance on MMSE and MoCA as well as scores on the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) and  
15 the Functional Assessment Questionnaire (FAQ) (Table 1).

16

17 **TABLE 1 Comparisons between aMCI and AD patients in demographic characteristics,**  
18 **MMSE, MoCA, GDS and FAQ**

	HC (N=30)		MCI (N=44)		AD (N=23)		ANOVA		Post hoc comparisons with Bonferroni correction
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>	
Age	65.9	5.7	69.1	8.8	73.1	6.3	5.97	.004	AD>HC**
Education	15.1	3.3	13.2	3.9	10.8	4.3	6.65	.002	AD<HC*
MMSE	29.3	.80	27.6	2.0	22.3	4.5	49.2	.000	AD<HC**, AD<MCI**, MCI<HC*
MoCA	26.2	1.8	22.4	3.3	15.7	5.3	56.8	.000	AD<HC**, AD<MCI**, MCI<HC**
GDS	2.1	2.5	2.3	2.0	2.7	1.8	.52	.597	
FAQ	.09	.30	.97	1.5	5.1	4.1	21.7	.000	AD<HC**, AD<MCI**

19 \* $p = .05$ , \*\* $p < .001$

20

21 Significant differences were observed between the control group and the mild AD group in the  
22 variables of age and educational level. Regarding the MMSE scores, significant differences were  
23 found between the three groups, where the group of patients with AD appeared to perform worse  
24 from all the other groups examined, while the aMCI patients had also a lower performance in  
25 comparison to cognitively intact individuals. Regarding performance in the MoCA test, significant  
26 differences were also found between the three groups, where mild AD patients had the worst  
27 performance, followed by the patients with aMCI and lastly by the cognitively intact individuals.  
28 Considering the FAQ, significant differences were observed between mild AD patients with both  
29 MCI patients and healthy elderly. More specifically, mild AD patients demonstrated significantly

1 lower scores in the specific scale reporting functionality impairments. In GDS, non-significant  
2 differences were observed between the three groups.

3 Pearson r correlations were carried out in order to assess the association between the  
4 MMSE and MoCA performance with driving indexes for each group. The results for the  
5 cognitively intact individuals are presented in Table 2, whereas the correlations of the aMCI and  
6 the mild AD patients are presented in Tables 3 & 4 respectively.

7  
8 **TABLE 2 Correlations between MMSE, MoCA and Driving Indexes in cognitively intact**  
9 **individuals**

Driving Indexes	Rural Area				Urban Area			
	MMSE		MoCA		MMSE		MoCA	
	<i>r</i>	<i>p-value</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p-value</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p-value</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p-value</i>
Average speed	.03	.86	-.11	.61	-.11	.63	-.17	.48
Lateral position	-.06	.77	-.20	.33	.02	.94	-.32	.18
Headway distance	-.02	.93	-.05	.79	.18	.45	.38	.10
Reaction time	-.04	.83	.09	.66	-.05	.86	.01	.97
Accident probability	-.29	.14	-.32	.12	.18	.45	-.15	.59
Speed limit violations	-.30	.13	-.20	.33	-	-	-	-

10 *\*p*=.05. *\*\*p*<.001

11

12 **TABLE 3 Correlations between MMSE, MoCA and Driving Indexes in aMCI patients**

Driving Indexes	Rural Area				Urban Area			
	MMSE		MoCA		MMSE		MoCA	
	<i>r</i>	<i>p-value</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p-value</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p-value</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p-value</i>
Average speed	.01	.94	-.05	.77	-.01	.96	.09	.61
Lateral position	-.10	.55	-.33	.055	.22	.22	.18	.32
Headway distance	.02	.91	.04	.83	-.16	.38	.01	.94
Reaction time	-.33	.045*	-.42	.01*	-.78	.000**	-.47	.02*
Accident probability	-.34	.041*	-.046	.006*	-.56	.003*	-.47	.02*
Speed limit violations	-.23	.18	-.39	.02*	.20	.27	.34	.059

13 *\*p*=.05. *\*\*p*<.001

14

1 **TABLE 4 Correlations between MMSE, MoCA and Driving Indexes in mild AD patients**

Driving Indexes	Rural Area				Urban Area			
	MMSE		MoCA		MMSE		MoCA	
	<i>r</i>	<i>p-value</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p-value</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p-value</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p-value</i>
Average speed	.41	.09	.48	.044*	.29	.26	.34	.21
Lateral position	.22	.37	.06	.79	.21	.43	-.04	.88
Headway distance	-.53	.024*	-.57	.014*	-.28	.29	-.26	.32
Reaction time	.07	.77	-.09	.69	-.16	.59	-.13	.68
Accident probability	.21	.39	.13	.61	-.54	.03*	-.53	.037*
Speed limit violations <sup>a</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

2 \**p* = .05. \*\**p* < .001

3  
4 No significant correlations were found between the MMSE, MoCA and the driving indexes in the  
5 group of cognitively intact individuals (Table 2). As regards aMCI patients, MMSE scores were  
6 significantly correlated with reaction time and the accident probability in both rural and urban  
7 environments, while non-significant correlations were observed in the remaining driving indices  
8 in neither rural nor urban areas (Table 3).

9 In the same group, performance in MoCA was also significantly correlated with reaction  
10 time and accident probability in both urban and rural areas (Table 3). Moreover, MoCA was  
11 significantly correlated with speed limit violations in the rural environment. No other significant  
12 correlations were observed between the MoCA performance and the rest of the driving indexes  
13 namely average speed, lateral position, headway distance in rural and urban areas as well as speed  
14 limit violations in the urban area.

15 Regarding the group of mild AD patients, MMSE performance significantly correlated  
16 with headway distance in the rural environment and with accident probability in the urban  
17 environment (Table 4). In addition, MoCA performance was significantly correlated with headway  
18 distance and average speed in the rural environment as well as with accident probability in the  
19 urban environment (Table 4). Non-significant correlations were observed between MMSE, MoCA  
20 and the rest of the driving indexes in the group of mild AD patients. No speed limit violations were  
21 observed in both rural and urban areas in the group of patients with mild AD.

## 22 **DISCUSSION**

23  
24 The objective of the present study was to investigate in patients with aMCI and mild AD the  
25 magnitude of the associations that exist between two popular measures of general cognitive state,  
26 namely MMSE and MoCA, with a variety of indexes related to driving fitness that were obtained  
27 from a driving simulation experiment. In addition, a second goal of the current work was to explore  
28 whether the MoCA test would present stronger overall associations with driving performance than  
29 the most widely used MMSE. According to our knowledge, this study was the first that compared  
30 the magnitude of the associations of the MoCA and the MMSE with various driving indexes in a  
31 group of drivers with a clinical diagnosis of aMCI and mild AD that was based on well-established

1 criteria (3, 39). In the only previous work that investigated MoCA in comparison to MMSE  
2 regarding their associations with driving indexes in patients with cognitive impairment (25), the  
3 sample was heterogeneous belonging to various neurological disorders and did not comprise a  
4 homogeneous clinical sample as in the case of the current study. In addition, the diagnosis of  
5 cognitive impairment was mainly based on the reason of referral without applying a detailed  
6 neuropsychological battery.

7 The driving evaluation for collecting information from distinct driving environments  
8 included both a rural and an urban scenario for the assessment of the following driving indexes:  
9 average speed, lateral position of the vehicle, head way distance, reaction time, accident  
10 probability and speed limit violations. According to our findings, both MMSE and MoCA were  
11 associated with crucial driving indexes that have an integral link with overall driving fitness. This  
12 pattern of findings is in line with previous research supporting the capacity of both *MMSE* (19, 20,  
13 22, 24, 27, 44) and *MoCA* (25, 38) to provide meaningful information regarding the driving  
14 performance of clinical samples.

15 In particular for patients with aMCI, both neuropsychological instruments were associated  
16 with reaction time to unexpected incidents that occurred in both the rural and the urban driving  
17 scenario. In addition, performance on the MMSE and MoCA was significantly related with  
18 accident probability in both driving environments. Reaction time and accident probability are  
19 critical indexes regarding the safe operation of the vehicle and, therefore, the aforementioned  
20 associations could facilitate the effort for identifying those patients with problematic or  
21 questionable driving skills. Notably, the specific aforementioned associations regarding MMSE  
22 for patients with MCI have not been observed in previous studies, according to our knowledge.  
23 This was, also, the first study that observed in patients with aMCI the specific associations  
24 regarding the case of MoCA. In addition, in the group of drivers with aMCI the MoCA scores  
25 were significantly associated with speed limit violations in the rural area, while this was not the  
26 case for the MMSE. Thus, in patients with aMCI, MoCA appears to have a slight advantage as  
27 compared to MMSE regarding the number of significant associations that were observed with  
28 fitness to drive related-measures. Nonetheless, the MMSE, despite its more general nature and the  
29 limited number of items that are related to executive functioning, showed considerable associations  
30 with critical indexes of driving fitness, namely accident probability and reaction time.

31 In the mild AD group, headway distance in the rural area and accident probability in the  
32 urban area presented significant associations with both cognitive screening tests. However, the  
33 MoCA was also associated with average speed in the rural area, a finding that was not identified  
34 in the case of the MMSE. Hence, MoCA appears to have a slight advantage as compared to MMSE  
35 also in the case of patients with mild-AD.

36 Overall, the findings of the current work regarding both clinical groups showed a greater  
37 number of significant associations with driving indexes in the case of the MoCA as compared to  
38 the MMSE. However, the advantage of the MoCA relatively to MMSE appears to be weaker than  
39 what we expected because the MMSE also manifested significant associations of similar  
40 magnitude with crucial driving indexes, such as reaction time and accident probability. Hence, this  
41 pattern of findings partially supports our hypothesis that predicted a clear advantage of the MoCA  
42 as compared to the MMSE due to its greater executive load (41). Therefore, an alternative approach  
43 that may take advantage at a greater extent of the executive-related nature of driving (40) is the  
44 application of specialized tests that focus on executive functioning instead of using measures that  
45 assess cognition in a more general way, even if they engage at some extent executive resources.  
46 An indicative neuropsychological test assessing specifically executive functioning that appears to  
47 be effectively associated with a variety driving indexes according to accumulating findings of

1 previous research is the Trail Making Test (1, 15, 18, 22, 45). Under this perspective, future studies  
2 could quantify the contribution of specialized measures on predicting various driving indexes by  
3 applying multivariate models that have the capacity to evaluate their effectiveness, after  
4 controlling for the shared amount of variance that is also explained by general cognitive measures,  
5 such as the MMSE and the MoCA.

6 Importantly, our findings indicate absence of significant associations between the driving  
7 variables and both MMSE and MoCA performance in the healthy elderly group. This pattern of  
8 findings may be explained by two complementary factors, namely the presence of ceiling effects  
9 regarding the level of performance as well as the limited amount of inter-individual variation that  
10 was observed in the group of cognitively healthy individuals in the case of both cognitive  
11 instruments. Hence, MoCA and MMSE appear to be effective for detecting associations with  
12 fitness to drive related-measures in patients with MCI or mild-AD but not in the case of the  
13 cognitively healthy individuals. This finding is in line with previous studies that also detected non-  
14 significant associations between MMSE performance and driving indexes in groups of healthy  
15 elderly individuals (26, 27, 28, 29).

16 In conclusion, the current findings add to the existing knowledge regarding the utility of  
17 the MMSE and the MoCA for detecting associations with driving indexes in patients with aMCI  
18 and mild AD. Overall, the MoCA appears to have a slight advantage as compared to the MMSE.  
19 Nonetheless, the MMSE, similarly to the MoCA, revealed associations of considerable strength  
20 with crucial indexes related to driving fitness, such as reaction time and accident probability.  
21 Therefore, these two measures of general cognitive functioning, with a relative advantage of the  
22 MoCA, provide information that may facilitate the effort for detecting those patients with aMCI  
23 and mild AD with problematic driving skills. However, for increasing the accuracy of  
24 recommendations related to driving fitness it is recommended that measures such as the MoCA  
25 and the MMSE should not be used in a sole fashion but instead as elements of a broader evaluation  
26 that may be accompanied by specialized neuropsychological tests or even actual driving when this  
27 is deemed necessary.

## 30 REFERENCES

- 32 1. Mathias, J. L., & Lucas, L. K. (2009). Cognitive predictors of unsafe driving in older  
33 drivers: a meta-analysis. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 21(4), 637–653.
- 34 2. Frittelli, C., Borghetti, D., Iudice, G., Bonanni, E., Maestri, M., Tognoni, G., et al. (2009).  
35 Effects of Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment on driving ability: a  
36 controlled clinical study by simulated driving test. *International Journal of Geriatric*  
37 *Psychiatry*, 24, 232–238.
- 38 3. Petersen, R. C., & Morris, J. C. (2005). Mild cognitive impairment as a clinical entity and  
39 treatment target. *Archives of neurology*, 62(7), 1160-1163.
- 40 4. Brown, L. B., & Ott, B. R. (2004). Driving and Dementia: A Review of the Literature.  
41 *Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry and Neurology*, 17(4), 232-240.
- 42 5. Dawson, J. D., Anderson, S. W., Uc,E. Y., Dastrup,E., & Rizzo, M. (2009). Predictors of  
43 driving safety in early Alzheimer disease. *Neurology*, 72, 521–527.
- 44 6. Tuokko, H., Tallman, K., Beattie, B. L., Cooper, P., & Weir, J. (1995). An Examination of  
45 Driving Records in a Dementia Clinic. *Journal of Gerontology*, 50(3), 173-181.
- 46 7. Wagner, J. T., Müri, R. M., Nef, T., & Mosimann U. P. (2011). Cognition and driving in  
47 older persons. *Swiss Medical Weekly*, 140

- 1 8. Drachman, D. A., & Swearer, J. M. (1993). Driving and Alzheimer's disease: the risk of  
2 crashes. *Neurology*, *43*, 2448– 2456.
- 3 9. Carr, D., Duchek, J., & Morris, J. C. (2000). Characteristics of motor vehicle crashes of  
4 drivers with dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Journal of the American Geriatrics*  
5 *Society*, *48*(1), 18-22.
- 6 10. Trobe, J. D., Waller, P. F., Cook-Flannagan, C. A., Teshima, S. M., & Bieliauskas, L. A.  
7 (1996). Crashes and Violations among Drivers with Alzheimer Disease. *Arch Neurology*,  
8 *53*, 411-416.
- 9 11. Perkinson, M. A., Berg-Weger, M. L., Carr, D. B., Meuser, T. M., Palmer, J. L., Buckles, V.  
10 D., Morris, J. C. (2005). Driving and dementia of the Alzheimer type: beliefs and cessation  
11 strategies among stakeholders. *The Gerontologist*, *45*(5), 676-685.  
12 doi: 10.1093/geront/45.5.676
- 13 12. Ernst, J., Krapp, S., Schuster, T., Förstl, H., Kurz, A., & Diehl-Schmid, J. (2010). [Car  
14 driving ability of patients with frontotemporal lobar degeneration and Alzheimer's  
15 disease]. *Der Nervenarzt*, *81*(1), 79-85.
- 16 13. Hird, M. A., Egeto, P., Fischer, C. E., Naglie, G., & Schweizer, T. A. (2016). A Systematic  
17 Review and Meta-Analysis of On-Road Simulator and Cognitive Driving Assessment in  
18 Alzheimer's Disease and Mild Cognitive Impairment. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*,  
19 (Preprint), 1-17.
- 20 14. Devlin, A., & McGillivray, J. A. (2014). Self-regulation of older drivers with cognitive  
21 impairment: A systematic review. *Australasian journal on ageing*, *33*(2), 74-80.
- 22 15. Devlin, A., McGillivray, J., Charlton, J., Lowndes, G., & Etienne, V. (2012). Investigating  
23 driving behaviour of older drivers with mild cognitive impairment using a portable driving  
24 simulator. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, *49*, 300– 307.
- 25 16. Snellgrove, C. A. (2005). *Cognitive screening for the safe driving competence of older*  
26 *people with mild cognitive impairment or early dementia*. ATSB.
- 27 17. Wadley, V. G., Okonkwo, O., Crowe, M., Vance, D. E., Elgin, J. M., Ball, K. K., & Owsley,  
28 C. (2009). Mild cognitive impairment and everyday function: an investigation of driving  
29 performance. *Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry and Neurology*, *22*(2), 87-94.
- 30 18. Kawano, N., Iwamoto, K., Ebe, K., Suzuki, Y., Hasegawa, J., Ukai, K., ... & Ozaki, N.  
31 (2012). Effects of mild cognitive impairment on driving performance in older  
32 drivers. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, *60*(7), 1379-1381.
- 33 19. Uc, E. Y., & Rizzo, M. (2008). Driving and Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Current*  
34 *Neurology and Neuroscience Reports*, *8*, 377-383.
- 35 20. Fox, G. K., Bowden, S. C., Bashford, G. M., & Smith, D. S. (1997). Alzheimer's Disease  
36 and Driving: Prediction and Assessment of Driving Performance. *Journal American*  
37 *Geriatrics Society*, *45*(8), 949-951.
- 38 21. Papageorgiou, S.G., Beratis, I., Kontaxopoulou, D., Fragkiadaki, S., Pavlou, D., Yannis, G.,  
39 "Does the diagnosis of Alzheimer's Disease imply immediate revocation of a driving  
40 license?" International journal of clinical neurosciences and mental health, *Special Issue*  
41 *on Controversies in Neurology*. Accepted for publication (August 2016)
- 42 22. Youl, C. S., Shin, L. J., & Young, S. A. (2014). Cognitive test to forecast unsafe driving in  
43 older drivers: Meta-analysis. *NeuroRehabilitation*, *35*, 771–778.
- 44 23. Folstein, M. F., Folstein, S. E., & McHugh, P. R. (1975). "Mini-mental state": A practical  
45 method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *Journal of Psychiatric*  
46 *Research*, *12*, 189–198.
- 47 24. Herrmann, N., Rapoport, M. J., Sambrook, R., Hébert, R., McCracken, P., & Robillard, A.

- 1 (2006). Predictors of driving cessation in mild-to-moderate dementia. *Canadian Medical*  
2 *Association Journal*, 175(6), 591-595.
- 3 25. Hollis, A. M., Duncanson, H., Kapust, L. R., Xi, P. M., & O'Connor, M. G. (2015).  
4 Validity of the Mini-Mental State Examination and the Montreal Cognitive Assessment in  
5 the Prediction of Driving Test Outcome. *Journal American Geriatric Society*, 63, 988–992.
- 6 26. Joseph, P. G., O'Donnell, M. J., Teo, K. K., Gao, P., Anderson, C., Probstfield, J. L. et al.  
7 (2014). The Mini-Mental State Examination, Clinical Factors, and Motor Vehicle Crash  
8 Risk. *Journal American Geriatrics Society*, 62, 1419–1426.
- 9 27. Lesikar, S. E., Gallo, J. J., Rebok, G. W., & Keyl, P. M. (2002). Prospective study of brief  
10 neuropsychological measures to assess crash risk in older primary care patients. *J Am*  
11 *Board Fam Pract*, 15(1), 11-19.
- 12 28. Diegelman, N. M., Gilbertson, A. D., Moore, J. L., Banou, E., & Meager, M. R. (2004).  
13 Validity of the Clock Drawing Test in predicting reports of driving problems in the elderly.  
14 *BMC Geriatrics*, 4(10).
- 15 29. Wood, J. M., Horswill, M. S., Lacherez, P. F., & Anstey, K. J. (2013). Evaluation of  
16 screening tests for predicting older driver performance and safety assessed by an on-road  
17 test. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 50, 1161-1168.
- 18 30. Ferreira, I. S., Simões, M. R., & Marôco, J. (2012). The Addenbrooke's Cognitive  
19 Examination Revised as a potential screening test for elderly drivers. *Accident Analysis &*  
20 *Prevention*, 49, 278-286.
- 21 31. Nasreddine, Z. S., Phillips, N. P., Bedirian, V., Charbonneau, S., Whitehead, V., Collin, I.,  
22 et al. (2005). The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: A Brief Screening Tool for Mild  
23 Cognitive Impairment. *Journal American Geriatrics Society*, 53(4), 695–699.
- 24 32. Markwick, A., Zamboni, G., & de Jager, C. A. (2012) Profiles of cognitive subtest  
25 impairment in the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) in a research cohort with  
26 normal Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) scores. *Journal of Clinical and*  
27 *Experimental Neuropsychology*, 34(7), 750-757.
- 28 33. Freitas, S., Simoes, M. R., Alves, L., & Santana, I. (2013). Montreal Cognitive Assessment  
29 Validation Study for Mild Cognitive Impairment and Alzheimer Disease Alzheimer.  
30 *Alzheimer Disease and Associated Disorders*, 27(1), 37-43.
- 31 34. Smith, T., Gildeh, N., & Holmes, C. (2007). The Montreal Cognitive Assessment: Validity  
32 and utility in a memory clinic setting. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 52(5), 329–  
33 332.
- 34 35. Dong, Y., Venketasubramanian, N., Chan, B. P. L., Sharma, V. K., Slavin, M. J., Collinson,  
35 S. L., ... & Chen, C. L. H. (2012). Brief screening tests during acute admission in patients  
36 with mild stroke are predictive of vascular cognitive impairment 3–6 months after  
37 stroke. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, 83(6), 580-585.
- 38 36. Zadikoff, C., Fox, S. H., Tang-Wai, D. F., Thomsen, T., de Bie, R. M. A., Wadia, P., et. al.  
39 (2008). A comparison of the Mini Mental State exam to the Montreal Cognitive assessment  
40 in identifying cognitive deficits in Parkinson's disease. *Movement Disorders*, 23(2), 297–  
41 299.
- 42 37. Crizzle, A. M., Classen, S., Bédard, M., Lanford, D., & Winter, S. (2012). MMSE as a  
43 predictor of on-road driving performance in community dwelling older drivers. *Accident*  
44 *Analysis and Prevention*, 49, 287– 292
- 45 38. Esser, P., Dent, S., Jones, C., Sheridan, B. J., Bradley, A., Wade, D. T., et. al. (2015). Utility  
46 of the MOCA as a cognitive predictor for fitness to drive. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*,  
47 0, 1–2.

- 1 39. McKhann, G. M., Knopman, D. S., Chertkow, H., Hyman, B. T., Jack, C. R., Kawas, C.  
2 H., ... & Mohs, R. C. (2011). The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease:  
3 Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association  
4 workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's &*  
5 *dementia*, 7(3), 263-269.
- 6 40. Asimakopulos, J., Boychuck, Z., Sondergaard, D., Poulin, V., Ménard, I., & Korner-  
7 Bitensky, N. (2012). Assessing executive function in relation to fitness to drive: A review  
8 of tools and their ability to predict safe driving. *Australian occupational therapy*  
9 *journal*, 59(6), 402-427.
- 10 41. Dong, Y., Lee, W. Y., Basri, N. A., Collinson, S. L., Merchant, R. A., Venketasubramanian,  
11 N., et al. (2012). The Montreal Cognitive Assessment is superior to the Mini-Mental State  
12 Examination in detecting patients at higher risk of dementia. *International*  
13 *Psychogeriatrics*, 24(11), 1749-1755.
- 14 42. Morris, J. C. (1993). The Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR): current version and scoring  
15 rules. *Neurology*, 43(11) 2412-2414
- 16 43. Yannis, G., Papantoniou, P., & Nikas, M. (2015, October). Comparative analysis of young  
17 drivers behavior in normal and simulation conditions at a rural road. In *Proceedings of the*  
18 *5th International Conference on Road Safety and Simulation, Universities of Central*  
19 *Florida and Tennessee, Orlando, Florida*.
- 20 44. Uc, E.Y., Rizzo, M., Anderson, S. W., Shi, Q., & Dawson, J. D. (2005) Driver landmark  
21 and traffic sign identification in early Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*,  
22 76, 764-768.
- 23 45. Ott, B. R., Heindel, W. C., Whelihan, W. M., Caron, M. D., Piatt, A. L., & DiCarlo, M. A.  
24 (2003). Maze Test Performance and Reported Driving Ability in Early Dementia. *Journal*  
25 *of Geriatric Psychiatry and Neurology*, 16(3), 151-155.  
26  
27  
28