

Alcohol-Impaired Driving: Evaluating Its Impact on Urban Safety and Driver Behavior through Driving Simulator

Marios Sekadakis

Transportation Engineer, Research Associate

Together with:

Themida Bouropoulou, Thodoris Garefalakis,
George Yannis

Department of Transportation Planning and Engineering
National Technical University of Athens



ICTR 2025

12th International Congress on Transportation Research

16-18 October 2025, Thessaloniki, Greece



HIT - HELLENIC
INSTITUTE OF TRANSPORT



HELLENIC INSTITUTE OF
TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERS

Introduction

- **Alcohol-impaired driving** is a major road safety hazard, strongly increasing crash risk.
- Even **low BAC levels** (0.03%) impair reaction time, attention, and judgment.
- **Urban environments** increase risk due to high density, intersections, and vulnerable users.
- The study investigates **how BAC affects** headway distance, reaction time, and crash probability in urban driving.



Study Aim & Contribution

- To **quantify the effects of alcohol consumption** on driver behavior under urban traffic conditions.
- To assess dose-response relationships across **four BAC levels**: 0%, 0.03%, 0.06%, 0.09%.
- To explore **behavioral and demographic moderators**, including gender, tolerance, and drinking habits.
- To **provide evidence** for policies and targeted interventions addressing drunk driving in cities.



Experiment & Participants

- The study used the FOERST **driving simulator** to replicate an urban road environment.
- **35 drivers** aged 19–32 (21 male, 14 female) participated.
- **Questionnaire data** collected demographics, drinking habits, and attitudes toward drink-driving.



Scenarios & Data

- **Scenario** included urban roads with intersections, crossings, parked vehicles, and traffic.
- Each completed four identical **urban simulator** drives at randomized BAC simulated levels.
- **Unexpected events** (e.g., pedestrians, sudden braking) introduced to test responsiveness.
- **Continuous logging** of speed, headway, braking, TTC, lane deviation, reaction time, etc.



Modeling Approach

- **Linear regression** used for headway distance and reaction times.
- **Binary logistic regression** applied to crash probability estimation.
- **Statistical significance** set at $p < 0.05$, R^2 for linear, accuracy for logistic.
- **Elasticity analysis** used to assess relative variable influence.



Results – Headway Distance

- **Higher BAC levels** reduced headway distance, showing riskier following behavior.
- **Male drivers** kept longer distances compared to females.
- **Frequent urban drivers** maintained shorter headways, reflecting risky familiarity.
- Underestimation of **alcohol tolerance** further shortened distances.

Independent Variables	β i	Std. Error	t Value	p-Value	e	e*
(Constant)	109.201	9.729	11.224	0.000 ***		
Discrete variables						
Scenario_No	-7.102	1.504	-4.722	0.000 ***	-0.07	2.52
Gender	10.115	3.540	2.857	0.005 ***	0.10	-3.60
Days_perweek_urban	-2.813	0.747	-3.763	0.000 ***	-0.03	1.00
Beer_limit	-5.951	2.256	2.683	0.009 **	-0.06	2.12
R ² = 0.29						
Adjusted R ² = 0.26						



Results – Reaction Time

- **BAC increase** significantly delayed reaction times, confirming a dose-dependent impairment effect.
- Higher **self-reported alcohol consumption** linked to slower responses.
- **Perceived tolerance** bias worsened performance under alcohol.
- **Drivers avoiding drink-driving** in real life reacted faster, showing protective behavioral traits.

Independent Variables	β_i	Std. Error	t Value	p-Value	e	e*
(Constant)	1.021	0.173	5.898	0.000 ***		
Discrete variables						
Scenario_No	0.108	0.031	3.429	0.000 ***	0.07	1.00
Average_alcohol_quantity	0.2041	0.053	3.883	0.000 ***	0.13	1.89
Beer_limit	0.1283	0.046	2.819	0.006 **	0.08	1.19
Returning_home_scenario	-0.14	0.062	-2.270	0.025 *	0.09	1.30
R ² = 0.20						
Adjusted R ² = 0.17						



Results – Crash Probability

$$\text{Crash Probability} = \frac{e^{\text{NumOfCrashesAverage}}}{e^{\text{NumOfCrashesAverage}} + 1}$$

- **Crash likelihood rose** sharply with higher BAC levels, strongest predictor in the model.
- **Past violations** showed reduced simulated crash risk, possibly due to self-regulation under observation.
- **Lower income** correlated with higher crash risk.
- The model reached 78.6% **prediction accuracy**, confirming strong robustness.

Independent Variables	β_i	Std. Error	z Value	p-Value	e	e*
(Constant)	-2.419	0.789	-3.065	0.002 **		
Discrete variables						
Scenario_No	1.724	0.285	6.049	0.000 ***	0.972	5475.88
Annual_family_income	-0.582	0.344	-1.694	0.090 -	-0.002	1.00
Continuous variables						
Exceeded_breathalyzer_limit	-0.002	0.0006	-3.399	0.000 ***	-0.232	1304.3
Times_driven_intoxicated_last_year	-0.147	0.061	-2.424	0.015 *	-0.059	333.10
Accuracy = 78.57%						



Discussion

- **Alcohol impairs safe urban driving** at all tested levels, reducing headway and slowing reaction times.
- **Crash probability** rises sharply with BAC, with alcohol level the strongest predictor in the model.
- **Behavioral traits and risk perception** matter, including tolerance beliefs and avoidance strategies.



Conclusions

- **Even moderate alcohol consumption** impairs urban driving safety, elevating crash probability.
- Headway distance, **reaction time, and crash involvement** all deteriorated with rising BAC.
- **Beliefs** about tolerance and self-control are misleading, leading to unsafe behavior.
- Alcohol-impaired driving remains a **critical policy target**, requiring combined enforcement and education.



Alcohol-Impaired Driving: Evaluating Its Impact on Urban Safety and Driver Behavior through Driving Simulator

Marios Sekadakis

Transportation Engineer, Research Associate

Together with:

Themida Bouropoulou, Thodoris Garefalakis,
George Yannis

Department of Transportation Planning and Engineering
National Technical University of Athens



ICTR 2025

12th International Congress on Transportation Research

16-18 October 2025, Thessaloniki, Greece



HIT - HELLENIC
INSTITUTE OF TRANSPORT



HELLENIC INSTITUTE OF
TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERS