Determining Region of Influence of Ego-Vehicle on Roadways for Vehicle Decision Making

Wushuang Bai, Satya Prasad Maddipatla, Evan Pelletier, Liming Gao and Sean Brennan





Acknowledgment

The work presented herein is supported by the National Science Foundation under grant numbers CNS-1932509, CNS-1931927, CNS-1932138 "CPS: Medium: Collaborative Research: Automated Discovery of Data Validity for Safety-Critical Feedback Control in a Population of Connected Vehicles". The authors gratefully acknowledge this support.





Connected and Autonomous Vehicles (CAVs) are an emerging and highly impactful technology on today's roads, with the claimed advantages of improved fuel economy, decreased congestion and improved safety etc.

Figure from: Kan Z, Qiang Z, Haojun Y, Long Z, Lu H, Chatzimisios P (2015) Reliable and efficient autonomous driving: the need for heterogeneous vehicular networks. Commun Mag IEEE 53:72–79

But the ego vehicle affects other vehicles and may decrease their performance.



One CAV might pass a smart traffic light while causing congestion in other roads.



Assessment of the vehicle's impacts on surrounding traffic is important, possibly even more important than the improvements enabled on the CAV itself. But what boundary, or factors, defines the vehicles, equipment, etc. "surrounding" a CAV?





The process of simulation flows as below:

Trajectories Compare trajectories of

ego vehicle

Trajectories

traffic surrounding the

Difference in

trajectories

Run baseline

Run perturbed

simulations

simulations

Evaluate the difference using following metrics:

- Vehicle trajectories
- Euclidian distance of each vehicle and its rate of change
- Total number of lane changes over the whole simulation VS. time
- Total number of lane
 changes over the whole
 simulation VS.
 surrounding vehicles'
 distance to the ego
 vehicle

Assessment of the vehicle's impacts on surrounding traffic is important, possibly even more important than the improvements enabled on the CAV itself. But what boundary, or factors, defines the vehicles, equipment, etc. "surrounding" a CAV?





The boundary of traffic "surrounding a CAV" is not static. Instead, the boundary of surrounding traffic, referred to as Region of Influence (ROI) changes as the simulation evolves.



ROI gets bigger

The simulation environment needs to be bigger than this boundary. Otherwise, the influence of vehicle behavior changes is no longer captured by the simulation.



Prior studies used traffic simulation to evaluate CAVs performance, while the selection of simulation area was mostly based on experience. The boundary of simulation area was still unclear.

Main focus	Author	Year	Simulation boundary used in the paper
CAVs performance evaluation, algorithm validation.	Michal et al. Christoph et al. Assia et al. Simone et al. Marc et al. Zhao et al. Luise et al.	2010 2010 2012 2015 2016 2017 2018	Selected the simulation area based on experience
	Qiong et al.	2020	Early consideration given to simulation area selection, where a wider context was considered



The objective of this work is to answer the question: "what is the boundary of the domain we need to simulate to capture the impacts of ego vehicle on surrounding traffic?" The boundary is referred to as Region of Influence, or ROI, in this study.



The simulation environment needs to be bigger than this boundary. Otherwise, the influence of vehicle behavior changes is no longer captured by the simulation.



To study ROI, the authors chose the simplest situation that involves traffic. This study uses AIMSUN traffic simulator to characterize ROI. The settings for simulations are as below:



Road type is double lane, straight highway, virtual road.



Mean speed is 60 mph.



Traffic flow rate is 1800 veh/hr (high flow rate)[1,2], using passenger vehicles.

[1] Rios-Torres, J., & Malikopoulos, A. A. (2018). Impact of partial penetrations of connected and automated vehicles on fuel consumption and traffic flow. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles, 3(4), 453-462.

[2] Aakre, E., Aakre, A., & Haugen, T. (2017). Enhancing driver performance: a closed track experiment. Transportation research procedia, 26, 22-31.



The study is based on the concept of an ego vehicle.

Ego vehicle, or subject vehicle, the behavior of which is of primary interest





The perturbation in the simulation is slowing down the ego vehicle by 20 mph for only the first 1 km of simulation. This is an user-defined relative large perturbation to approximate a (negative) impulse perturbation to the traffic system.





A key factor to investigate in this work is how the speed variance (σ^2) can affect ROI.



"Frozen" traffic: speed σ = 0 mph

"warm" traffic: speed σ = 5 mph



It's difficult to compare the difference between baseline and perturbation trajectories. However, if we highlight where they are different, it becomes obvious.



Traffic trajectories, warm mode

Traffic simulation settings

Simulation parameters	Settings applied
Road type	Double lane, straight
Road length	10 km
Perturbation	A speed change of -20 mph on the ego vehicle for 1 km
Mean velocity	60 mph
Traffic temperature mode	"Frozen": speed std = 0 mph; "warm": speed std = 5 mph
Flow rate	1800 veh/hr



ROI is smaller in frozen mode than in warm mode.



Traffic trajectories, warm mode



We use Euclidian distance as a metric to evaluate divergence of one vehicle's trajectories in baseline and perturbed simulations.



Euclidian Distance
$$=$$

Distance =
$$\begin{cases} \sqrt{(x_{bl} - x_{per})^{2} + (y_{bl} - y_{per})^{2}}, & \text{if } S_{bl} \ge S_{per} \\ -\sqrt{(x_{bl} - x_{per})^{2} + (y_{bl} - y_{per})^{2}}, & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Where x and y are coordinates, S is station, the subscripts "bl" is baseline, and "per" is perturbed.



Euclidian distance, warm mode

Frozen mode has smaller Euclidian distance than warm mode





The rate of change(ROC) of Euclidian distance is zero in "frozen" mode after the end of perturbation. While subplot in "warm" mode, it continues to grow after the end of perturbation.





We use the total number of lane changes over the whole simulation versus time to evaluate how long the perturbation affects lane changes of the surrounding traffic.



Total number of lane changes over the whole simulation space, warm mode

$$LaneChange(\Delta t)_i = \begin{cases} 1 & LaneChangeOccurs \\ 0 & Otherwise \end{cases}$$

$$N_{LaneChange}(\Delta t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} LangChange(\Delta t)_i$$

where *i* is vehicle *ID*, *N* is maximum vehicle *ID*.



The ROI can disappear or persist, depending on whether the traffic is frozen or Warm.



Total number of lane changes over the whole simulation space, frozen mode



Total number of lane changes over the whole simulation space, warm mode



The ROI can disappear or persist, depending on whether the traffic is frozen or warm.



whole simulation space, frozen mode



the whole simulation space, warm mode

We use total number of lane change over whole simulation versus distance to ego vehicle to evaluate how far the perturbation affects lane changes of surrounding traffic.





Total number of lane changes over the whole simulation time, warm mode

ROI can be close or far from ego vehicle, depending on whether traffic temperature mode is frozen or warm.



Total number of lane changes over the whole simulation time, frozen mode





ROI can be close or far from ego vehicle, depending on whether traffic temperature mode is frozen or warm.





In conclusion, this work presented a new concept, Region of Influence, or ROI, indicating the region over which a perturbation into the traffic as an input can influence the whole traffic system in time and space. This work introduced as well a method to characterize the ROI of one vehicle on the surrounding traffic. The results show that overall, speed variance has a strong influence on ROI. The results also show that ROI can have different values when using different metrics.



If you have questions, please contact me: Wushuang Bai, The Pennsylvania State University, wxb41@psu.edu

